

RTI Appeals Responses
from April 2024 to March 2025



ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IITRJ/A/E/24/00004

Applicant Name

Dheeraj Kumar Singh

Text of Appeal

PLEASE PROVIDE THE COMPLETE INFORMATION REQUESTED
(1) Please provide the number of students who secured jobs or were placed through campus placements (including via PPOs) held in the institute in the academic year 2021_2022, 2022_2023 and 2023_2024 (till date in the current year). Please do not count the number of offers received BUT number of students who received the job offers. (2) Please provide the total number of students who had either received the PPO or registered for campus placements held in the institute in the academic year 2021_2022, 2022_2023 and 2023_2024 (till date in the current year). Please consider all those students who submitted their CVs to the Institute Placement Office for campus placement as the registered students. (3) Please provide the median and average salary in Indian Rupees for the students who were either placed or received PPO in the academic year 2021_2022, 2022_2023 and 2023_2024 (till date in the current year). (4) Please provide the number of students who could NOT secure jobs or were NOT placed through campus placements (including No PPOs) held in the institute in the academic year 2021_2022, 2022_2023 and 2023_2024 (till date in the current year).

Reply of Appeal

The reply provided by CPIO is appropriate. Further, If you are not satisfied with the reply, you may prefer a second appeal to CIC.



ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IITRJ/A/E/24/00005

Applicant Name

Goldy

Text of Appeal

In reference to the response to the RTI request. the information uploaded in the website as sou moto disclosure of the information for question is not accessible as it showed access denied. it is requested to kindly provide or make the necessary correction so that the requested information can be accessed

Reply of Appeal

The reply provided by the CPIO is appropriate. The information is available on the link mentioned here under(which is working properly): https://iitj.ac.in/staff/index.php?id=prev_question_paper Further, if you are not satisfied with the reply you may prefer second appeal to CIC.



ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IITRJ/A/E/24/00006	
Applicant Name	Nikhil Sharma
Text of Appeal	point 4. as per rti act i want to know the qualification of the selected candidate as per gov of india ugc aicet approved. which university or institute they have studies and which year. only institute name. point 6. i want to know the first waiting list (pannel) candidate application number. in some post iit jodhpur reserved pannel. point 7. in skill test which softwares use by candidate. how many adobe licence have iit jodhpur.
Reply of Appeal	As per RTI Act, new information/questions cannot be asked at appeal stage. Further, If you are not satisfied with the reply, you may prefer a second appeal to CIC.



ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IITRJ/A/E/24/00007

Applicant Name

PRAMOD MITTAL

Text of Appeal

Information not provided

Reply of Appeal

The Reply provided by the CPIO is appropriate. Further, If you are not satisfied with the reply, you may prefer a second appeal to CIC.



ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IITRJ/A/E/24/00008

Applicant Name

Divyanshu Singh

Text of Appeal

As per my last communication with you, Officer, I didn't specify what the school at SME was, since I believe the Officer could check that I, Divyanshu Singh had applied only for the MBA programme at SME, IIT Jodhpur. Also, the officer did not provide the breakdown of my composite score achieved after the PI process, clearly showing the marks awarded for CAT Score, PI Score and other criteria of SME, IIT Jodhpur. Thus, all these questions are only for the MBA programme at SME, IIT Jodhpur. Please help me by providing the following questions: a. How many people have been given offer letters of admission in the first merit list, segregated by gender and category? b. Final Composite Score Calculation formula and criteria. c. Regarding the candidate Divyanshu Singh, Application No: IITJ-J24-MBA-SME-3905, CAT ID 23098638, Category General, please provide the final composite score calculation, clearly showing the weightage and marks provided for the CAT score, and Personal Interview score, among others. Also, provide the rank of the candidate for all the CS calculated for all candidates in the general category. d. Final Composite Score at which admission has been given in the General Category, and the cutoff rank in the common merit list for general candidates. e. Number of seats in the General Category for 2024-26 intake. f. Number of General Candidates given offers for Personal Interview round in 2024-26 intake cycle. h. Now, for all categories, please provide all seats and offers given as per the first merit list, along with the Minimum composite score of each category convert i. For all categories, please provide a breakdown of people shortlisted in the first list categorised as Non-Engineer and Engineer.

Reply of Appeal

Reply of RTI Appeal is attached. Further, if you are not satisfied with the Reply, you may prefer a Second Appeal to the CIC.

IIT Jodhpur

Reply of RTI Appeal No. IITRJ/A/E/00008

Ref: RTI No. IITRJ/R/E/24/00068

a. How many people have been given offer letters of admission in the first merit list, segregated by gender and category?

Response:

Category	GEN	EWS	OBC (NC)	SC	ST	PwD
Total Offer 1 st round	94	24	66	36	2	2

We do not send the offer letter based on the gender.

b. Final Composite Score Calculation formula and criteria.

Response:

The composite score for initial shortlisting is calculated based on the following weightage:

CAT Overall Scaled Score	80
Work Experience	10
Gender Diversity	10

The final composite score after personal interview is calculated based on the following weightage:

Composite Score	80
Personal Interview through virtual mode	20

c. Regarding the candidate Divyanshu Singh, application No: IITJ-J24-MBA-SME- 3905, CAT ID 23098638, Category General, please provide the final composite score calculation, clearly showing the weightage and marks provided for the CAT score, and Personal Interview score, among others. Also, provide the rank of the candidate for all the CS calculated for all candidates in the general category.

Response: Weightage formula details are given in response b.

Rank 57 in Unreserved Category.

Final Composite Score: 58.6.

No waitlist number allotted to the applicants; it wholly depends on the vacant seats in each category.

Since the admission process is ongoing, SME will not reveal the waitlisting number of the other candidates till the process is over, as this violates information privacy.

Further, no fresh queries can be entertained at Appeal Stage.



ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IITRJ/A/E/24/00009	
Applicant Name	jagdish saran
Text of Appeal	I had applied RTI for seeking information regarding number application form received for Advertisement No. IITJ/O(E-II)/2024-25/ Non-Academic Staff/57, but for this recruitment last date of online application was 15 may 2024 and I applied RTI on date 16 may 2024 , then how can respective institute mislead by sending reply which I attaching in supporting document .
Reply of Appeal	The last date of application for advertisement No. IITJ/O(E-II)/2024-25/Non-Academic Staff/57 was 15.06.2024. The information sought can be accessed at below link : https://iitj.ac.in/assets/uploads/document/2024/06/2024-06-24-6678f7df54203-1719203807.pdf Further, if you are not satisfied with the reply, you may prefer second appeal to CIC.



ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IITRJ/A/E/24/00010

Applicant Name

Suresh Kumar

Text of Appeal

In an RTI (Right to Information) application in India, while providing name and address is generally recommended for correspondence purposes, it is not mandatory. The RTI Act, 2005 allows for anonymous applications, meaning you can submit an application without disclosing your identity or address. But still, I am providing you the information which is sought by CPIO Baikunthnath Sahu. It is requested to PIO kindly provide the information sought to me.

Reply of Appeal

The pointwise reply is as under: Point 1: The information sought is voluminous and not available in desired/consolidated format. As per Section 7(9) of the RTI Act, an information shall ordinarily be provided in the form in which it is sought unless it would disproportionately divert the resources of the public authority or would be detrimental to the safety or preservation of record in question. Therefore, you are requested to visit the institute in office hours on working days with prior intimation to CPIO, IITJ. Point 2: There is no such facility at present. In case you are not satisfied with the reply, you may prefer a second appeal to CIC.



ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IITRJ/A/E/24/00011

Applicant Name

Yogendra

Text of Appeal

1. You are requested to provide the details of Para 02 as desired under RTI Application no IITRJ/R/E/24/00080 2. Provide category wise number break up of candidate apply for the post of junior engineer civil post code 5743 in the advertisement no 25/2024 till last date

Reply of Appeal

The information is available at below link: https://iitj.ac.in/staff/index.php?id=recruitment_of_staff_members



ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IITRJ/A/E/24/00012

Applicant Name

hHARI SHANKAR SHARMA

Text of Appeal

In point. I am ask the college name or institute. In point 3. In this vacancy section some other post pannel candidate is there. But in this post what is the reason? 4. Correct answer key not provided. Objective Q papper right answer key. I have not ask degree or diploma photocopy I have want the degree or diploma recognised by which legal body. Only name. In the rule rti act rule number 7/9 you have not denied to give the information. And not instructed to come iit jodhpur and watch for safty issue . is there which type of safty I am indian person The reply by give your side is irrelevant.

Reply of Appeal

Information sought in the Appeal is not clear. However, the reply provided by the CPIO was appropriate. Further, if you are not satisfied with the reply, you may prefer Second Appeal to the CIC.



ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IITR.J/A/E/24/00013

Applicant Name

H S Sharma

Text of Appeal

In point one I am asking about degree is valid or not. In point 2 I am asking about degree and diploma why the Q under rule number 8 e information available to a person in his fiduciary relationship, unless the competent authority is satisfied that the larger public interest warrants the disclosure of such information. The recruitment process is public interest if some got job By not legally valid degree or diploma by fraud. 8E And 8J not cover under this question under in public interest. Question 2 reply totally irrelevant. (j) information which relates to personal information the disclosure of which has no relationship to any public activity or interest, or which would cause unwarranted invasion of the privacy of the individual unless the Central Public Information Officer or the State Public Information Officer or the appellate authority, as the case may be, is satisfied that the larger public interest justifies the disclosure of such information:

Reply of Appeal

Information sought in the Appeal is not clear. However, the reply provided by the CPIO was appropriate. Further, if you are not satisfied with the reply, you may prefer Second Appeal to the CIC.



ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IITRJ/A/E/24/00014

Applicant Name	Swati Sarkar
Text of Appeal	Please find the pdf file attached herewith
Reply of Appeal	The reply provided by the CPIO is appropriate. Further, if you are not satisfied with the reply, you may prefer a second appeal to the CIC.



ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IITRJ/A/E/24/00015

Applicant Name

Rushil Patel

Text of Appeal

Provided Incomplete information from IIT Jodhpur CPIO. Already mentioned detail in Question asked for information:- Placements data consists of all details with Highest CTC, Average CTC, Minimum CTC. above data not provided. Kindly request to provide data as per requested earlier.

Reply of Appeal

Reply provided by the CPIO is appropriate as the data was not available on date of disposal of RTI application as the placements were ongoing. Further, the information can be provided in subsequent RTI on completion of placements. Further, if you are not satisfied with the response, you may prefer a second appeal to CIC.



ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IITRJ/A/E/24/00016

Applicant Name

Diya Singla

Text of Appeal

You didn't provided me Average CGPA branch wise and semester wise for past 3 years. Also please do provide me the available data till date for 2024 batch placements. Regarding companies which came to placements I was denied information based on section 8(1)(d) and 8(1)(j) of RTI act. Section 8 (1)(d) "information including commercial confidence, trade secrets or intellectual property, the disclosure of which would harm the competitive position of a third party, unless the competent authority is satisfied that larger public interest warrants the disclosure of such information." How am I harming competitive position of 3rd party. Section 8(1)(j) "information which relates to personal information the disclosure of which has no relationship to any public activity or interest, or which would cause unwarranted invasion of the privacy of the individual unless the Central Public Information Officer or the State Public Information Officer or the appellate authority, as the case may be, is satisfied that the larger public interest justifies the disclosure of such information." I am not asking for personal information. My question does not require you to give name of candidate. Please resolve and submit updated response ASAP

Reply of Appeal

With reference to your request pertaining to Average CGPA - No such information is available. For other queries- The response provided by the CPIO is appropriate. Further, if you are not satisfied with the response, you may prefer a second appeal to CIC



ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IITRJ/A/E/24/00017

Applicant Name

Sarthak Goswami

Text of Appeal

As per the response received from your office, I regret to inform you that it will not be possible for me to visit Jodhpur as I am currently residing in Kolkata. Therefore, I kindly request you to provide the necessary information as available at your source.

Reply of Appeal

Reply provided by the CPIO is appropriate. Further, if you are not satisfied with the reply, you may prefer a second appeal to CIC.



ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IITRJA/E/24/00018	
Applicant Name	Ashish Shankar
Text of Appeal	<p>Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) killed Chairperson of the Atomic Energy Commission of India Bhabha in 1966 and delayed Indian Nuclear programme for one decade. Between 2022 and 2024 Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) plotted destruction of Indian Civilian Airplane programme , for next several decades with the help of traitors P. K. Mishra and others. At the same time , Loot of 160 Billion dollars / Rs 13 lakh crores - largest loot in the history of the world and the nation conducted by traitors. Central Vigilance Commission has directed the Delhi Police to take step - Page 1. But Delhi Police is taking no step. Kindly visit the Hon. PM and inform the matter and take other suitable step immediately. Hon. PM in danger. CIA Agent in the PMO. Central Vigilance Commission complaint number - 59782/2024 , 4 June 24. Complaint against - Sanjay Arora , Deotosh Singh , Sharat Kumar Sinha (Commissioner , DCP and ACP Delhi Police) Reason - If in treason and corruption case police will not take step , then who will ? As the PMO is traditionally the Incharge of the Delhi Police , all senior officers of the Delhi Police are behaving in a servile manner , towards the traitor and not taking step. As the treason conducted , now the traitor P K Mishra is not the Incharge of the Delhi Police , should be explained to Delhi Police. Delhi Police is not taking step. Kindly note 1 - As a parrot is kept in a cage of iron , similarly the Hon. PM has been put in a cage. The Hon. PM is not aware of treasonous activities of the traitor in the Hon. PM office. Commissioner of Police , Rajkot City will initiate action against the Agent of foreign power - P K Mishra. Traitor P K Mishra - PMO Principal Secretary. ----- Forwarded message ----- From: Raju Bhargava (GOG-Home Dept.) cp-raj@gujarat.gov.in To: ashishshanker88@gmail.com From: ASHISH SHANKER ashishshanker88@gmail.com Sent: March 2, 2024 To: Raju Bhargava Subject: Commissioner of Police , Shri Raju Bhargava Ji , kindly lodge FIR against the traitor. To: K B Ranavat(GoG-Home Dept.) dgp-g1-br@gujarat.gov.in 2. - Forwarded message - From - I Branch DGP Office Gujarat Date - Jan 11, 2024 Subject- Fw Rs 49000 crores to Rs 10 lakh crores , all treason. To - K B Ranavat(GoG-Home Dept.) dgp g1 br(at)gujarat.gov.in From - Narasihma Komar , ADG Gujarat Police Kindly note 2 - Delhi police CPIO replied - approach the appropriate forum. All forum including High Court has been approached - traitor is managing the matter with the help of CIA (Central Intelligence Agency) at all places. Loot of 160 Billion dollars / Rs 13 lakh crores - largest loot in the history of the world. Delhi Police officials are complicit in treason. Traitor P K Mishra is rewarding corrupt officials through various rewards , like foreign posting , extension of tenure after retirement etc , for staying silent.</p>
Reply of Appeal	The Reply provided by the CPIO is appropriate.



भारतीय प्रौद्योगिकी संस्थान जोधपुर
Indian Institute of Technology Jodhpur
NH-62, Nagaur Road, Karwad, Jodhpur-342030
eMail: cpio@iitj.ac.in



ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IITRJ/A/E/24/00019

Applicant Name

Raj

Text of Appeal

if you are not able to provide data for all three years then you can provide for 2022-23 & 2023-24. This is not a big data. I am asking only about the honorarium that is around 2 pages information hardly.

Reply of Appeal

The reply provided by the CPIO is appropriate. Further, if you are not satisfied with the reply, you may prefer a second appeal to CIC



ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IITRJ/A/E/24/00020	
Applicant Name	MANISH DIGHE
Text of Appeal	<p>With reference to my RTI application no. IITRJ/R/E/24/00107 dated 18-06-2024 and your reply for the same on 16-07-2024, please provide following information: मेरी आर टी आई आवेदन क्रमांक IITRJ/R/E/24/00107 दिनांक 18-06-2024 और इसके लिए आपका जवाब दिनांक 16-07-2024 के सन्दर्भ में, कृपया निम्न सुचना प्रदान करें: (1) Please provide information in certified copy of the order of CV/Resume shortlisting / scrutiny committee, who is responsible for the shortlisting / scrutiny of eligible C.V./Resume as per eligibility criteria mentioned in the advertisement no. IITJ/2018-19/Non-Academic Staff/25, dated January 31, 2019, post Sr. no. 03 Executive Engineer. विज्ञापन क्रमांक IITJ/2018-19/Non-Academic Staff/25, दिनांक जनवरी 31, 2019, पद क्रमांक 03 कार्यकारी अभियंता विद्युत के सन्दर्भ में कृपया आवेदन चयन समिति के अप्रुवल/आदेश की certified copy प्रदान करें, जो की आवेदन चयन करने के लिए जिम्मेदार है। (2) Please provide information in certified copy of the approval/order on that ground I have been sent an email by IIT Jodhpur for submission of experience details and current month salary i.e. certified copy of the approval/order for provisionally short listing of my candidature for the said post. कृपया उस अप्रुवल/आदेश की certified copy प्रदान करें, जिसके आधार पर आई आई टी जोधपुर ने मुझसे ईमेल के द्वारा कार्यानुभव का विवरण और current माह की सैलरी स्लिप मांगी गयी थी अर्थात उस अप्रुवल/आदेश की certified copy प्रदान करें, जिसके आधार पर आई आई टी जोधपुर ने उक्त पद के लिए मेरा अस्थायी चयन किया था। (3) Please provide information in certified copy of the approval/order on that ground I have been over aged for the said post after calling the experience details and current month salary. कृपया उस अप्रुवल/आदेश की certified copy प्रदान करें, जिसके आधार पर उक्त पद के लिए मुझसे कार्यानुभव का विवरण और current माह की सैलरी स्लिप मांगने के बाद overage किया गया।</p> <p>Reply provided by the CPIO is appropriate. No fresh question is entertained in Appeal Stage. In case, if you are not satisfied, you may prefer a second appeal to CIC.</p>
Reply of Appeal	



ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IITRJ/A/E/24/00021

Applicant Name

S Krishna Mohan

Text of Appeal

It appears information available in digital form or printed form but link is given. Unable to get the information as sought. Please direct CPIO to provide the information as per intent of RTI Act to empower the citizen with the information and being public authority to provide the sought information as available not expecting the citizen to go through irrelevant voluminous information, otherwords non sogut informationis more than the sought information.

Reply of Appeal

The relevent information pertaining to the Appeal No is attached. In case if you are not satisfied with the information, you may prefer a second appeal to CIC.

Reference: IITRJ/A/E/24/00021

S. No.	Query	Reply
1.	Grant in aid to IITs during last 3 years	<p>Please refer Utilization Certificate annexed in Audited Annual Accounts available on this link : For FY 2022-23 (Page No. 98-105) https://iitj.ac.in/uploaded_docs/rti/Audited%20Report%20F.%20Y.%202022-23.pdf</p> <p>For FY 2021-22 (Page No. 98-105) https://iitj.ac.in/uploaded_docs/rti/Audit%20Report_F%20Y%202021-22_Final.pdf</p> <p>For FY 2020-21(Page No. 84-89) https://iitj.ac.in/uploaded_docs/rti/Audited%20Account%20for%20F.Y.%202020-21.pdf</p>
2.	External Earnings of IITs during the last 3 years	<p>Please refer Income & expenditure and relevant schedules of Audited Annual Accounts available on this link: For FY 2022-23 (Page No. 04-05) https://iitj.ac.in/uploaded_docs/rti/Audited%20Report%20F.%20Y.%202022-23.pdf</p> <p>For FY 2021-22 (page No.03-04) https://iitj.ac.in/uploaded_docs/rti/Audit%20Report_F%20Y%202021-22_Final.pdf</p> <p>For FY 2020-21 (Page No. 03-04) https://iitj.ac.in/uploaded_docs/rti/Audited%20Account%20for%20F.Y.%202020-21.pdf</p>
3.	Total Student Fees during the last 3 years	<p>Please refer student fees Schedule of Income & Expenditure and relevant schedule of Audited Annual Accounts available on this link: FY 2022-23 (Page No. 46) https://iitj.ac.in/uploaded_docs/rti/Audited%20Report%20F.%20Y.%202022-23.pdf</p> <p>For FY 2021-22 (Page No. 44) https://iitj.ac.in/uploaded_docs/rti/Audit%20Report_F%20Y%202021-22_Final.pdf</p> <p>For FY 2020-21 (Page No. 38) https://iitj.ac.in/uploaded_docs/rti/Audited%20Account%20for%20F.Y.%202020-21.pdf</p>
4.	Total student Entrance exam fees collected and expenditure including surplus.	<p>Please refer student fees Schedule of Income & Expenditure and relevant schedules of Audited Annual Accounts available on this link: For FY 2022-23 (Page No. 46 & 55)</p>

		https://iiti.ac.in/uploaded_docs/rti/Audited%20Report%20F.%20Y.%202022-23.pdf For FY 2021-22 (page No.44 & 53) https://iiti.ac.in/uploaded_docs/rti/Audit%20ReportF%20Y%202021-22_Final.pdf For FY 2020-2021 (Page No. 38 & 47) https://iiti.ac.in/uploaded_docs/rti/Audited%20Account%20for%20F.Y.%202020-21.pdf
5.	Penalty if any collected during the last 3 years.	Please refer other income & relevant schedules of Audited Annual Accounts available on this link: For FY 2022-23 (Page No. 50 to 51) https://iiti.ac.in/uploaded_docs/rti/Audited%20Report%20F.%20Y.%202022-23.pdf For FY 2021-22 (page No.48 to 49) https://iiti.ac.in/uploaded_docs/rti/Audit%20ReportF%20Y%202021-22_Final.pdf For FY 2020-21 (Page No. 42 to 43) https://iiti.ac.in/uploaded_docs/rti/Audited%20Account%20for%20F.Y.%202020-21.pdf Penalty collected amounts to Rs. 6,64,000/- from A.Y. 2021-24.
6.	Actual cost per student and actual cost being collected as fee.	No such Record is available
7	Details of subsidy in fees from Govt. of India for each student.	http://academics.iiti.ac.in/?page_id=490 . Fee Structure is available at Fee Structure – Indian Institute of Technology Jodhpur (iiti.ac.in) .
8	Actual cost per student and actual cost being collected as fee.	No such information is available
9	Policy document encouraging students to service in India or any benefit received back due to above subsidy viz. services in India.	No such information or document is available



ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IITRJ/A/E/24/00022

Applicant Name	Kapil Chaudhary
Text of Appeal	Dear sir, no response is received within the time limit.
Reply of Appeal	Response to the RTI application has been provided by the CPIO on 23 Aug 2024. Further, if you are not satisfied with the response, you may prefer an appeal to FAA through email at : registrar@iitj.ac.in



ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IITRJ/A/E/24/00023

Applicant Name

Khush Panchal

Text of Appeal

I am writing to request branch-wise statistical data for all degrees (B.Tech, M.Tech, Ph.D., MS, etc.) awarded over the last decade (up to 2024). Specifically, I am seeking the following information for each branch: Mean Median Range I apologize for the confusion in my previous RTI request, where I mistakenly mentioned "10 decades" instead of the "last 10 years" or "last decade." I appreciate your understanding and assistance in providing the required information. Thank you for your time and cooperation.

Reply of Appeal

The information is attached. In case if you are not satisfied, you may prefer a second appeal at CIC.

Information Sought in appeal:

I am writing to request branch-wise statistical data for all degrees (B.Tech, M.Tech, Ph.D. MS, etc.) awarded over the last decade (up to 2024). Specifically, I am seeking the following information for each branch: Mean Median Range I apologize for the confusion in my previous RTI request, where I mistakenly mentioned "10 decades" instead of the "last 10 years" or "last decade." I appreciate your understanding and assistance in providing the required information. Thank you for your time and cooperation.

Reply: Please find the information as under:

B.Tech.							
Course	Passing out batch	Registered for Placement	Placed	Minimum CTC in LPA (INR)	Average CTC in LPA (INR)	Median CTC in LPA (INR)	Highest CTC in LPA (INR)
CSE	2024	83	80	5.6	21.02	18.5	51
AI & DS	2024	46	43	10	21.33	15.5	51
EE	2024	65	63	5	16.33	11.89	51
ME	2024	45	42	6.57	11.77	9	41.23
MT	2024	26	20	5	10.38	9	18
BB	2024	18	15	5.6	8.99	9	13
CH	2024	21	15	8	13.83	10	51
ES	2024	12	12	7	11.07	9	21.16
CI	2024	22	12	7	12.67	9	41.23

Course	Passing out batch	Registered for Placement	Placed	Minimum CTC in LPA (INR)	Average CTC in LPA (INR)	Median CTC in LPA (INR)	Highest CTC in LPA (INR)
CSE	2023	102	100	8	26.31	22.2	53
EE	2023	74	71	8.5	22	17	51
ME	2023	63	56	7	19.5	13.1	51

BSBE	2023	34	28	6.5	18.65	16.5	48
------	------	----	----	-----	-------	------	----

Course	Passing out batch	Registered for Placement	Placed	Minimum CTC in LPA (INR)	Average CTC in LPA (INR)	Median CTC in LPA (INR)	Highest CTC in LPA (INR)
CSE	2022	62	60	6	27.8	28	46
EE	2022	46	45	6	19.5	14	46
ME	2022	37	34	7.5	12	10	40
BSBE	2022	31	31	7.5	13.5	12	46

Course	Passing out batch	Registered for Placement	Placed	Minimum CTC in LPA (INR)	Average CTC in LPA (INR)	Median CTC in LPA (INR)	Highest CTC in LPA (INR)
CSE	2021	50	50	5.5	19.3	15	45
EE	2021	36	28	5.5	9.7975	7.3	23
ME	2021	40	24	6	9.8	8.7	23

Course	Passing out batch	Registered for Placement	Placed	Minimum CTC in LPA (INR)	Average CTC in LPA (INR)	Median CTC in LPA (INR)	Highest CTC in LPA (INR)
CSE	2020	29	29	8	23	24.65	41.6
EE	2020	25	21	6.5	13.07	10	31.5
ME	2020	24	20	6	11.33	8.4	30

Course	Passing out batch	Registered for Placement	Placed	Minimum CTC in LPA (INR)	Average CTC in LPA (INR)	Median CTC in LPA (INR)	Highest CTC in LPA (INR)
CSE	2019	33	30	6.5	20.34	19.5	39.02

EE	2019	19	16	6	10.13	9	25.33
ME	2019	24	18	6	8.06	7	25.3

Course	Passing out batch	Registered for Placement	Placed	Minimum CTC in LPA (INR)	Average CTC in LPA (INR)	Median CTC in LPA (INR)	Highest CTC in LPA (INR)
CSE	2018	36	33	6	15.82	15	36.5
EE	2018	31	19	5.5	8.96	9.5	12.5
ME	2018	25	18	5.1	6.62	6.25	9.6
System Science	2018	7	5	7	16.4	18	31
BISS	2018	5	4	6	9.04	7	16.17

Course	Passing out batch	Registered for Placement	Placed	Minimum CTC in LPA (INR)	Average CTC in LPA (INR)	Median CTC in LPA (INR)	Highest CTC in LPA (INR)
CSE	2017	36	27	6	16.05	16.17	29.5
EE	2017	30	13	3.5	8.61	7.75	21
ME	2017	31	11	5.11	6.12	6	8.35
System Science	2017	25	13	6.6	11.96	9	25
BISS	2017	14	3	5.9	5.9	5.9	5.9

Course	Passing out batch	Registered for Placement	Placed	Minimum CTC in LPA (INR)	Average CTC in LPA (INR)	Median CTC in LPA (INR)	Highest CTC in LPA (INR)
CSE	2016	36	29	6	13.16	12	24.5
EE	2016	35	28	4.2	7.715	6.75	16.9
ME	2016	31	22	4.2	6.8	5.87	10.64

System Science	2016	21	11	6	10.03	6.5	24.5
----------------	------	----	----	---	-------	-----	------

Course	Passing out batch	Registered for Placement	Placed	Minimum CTC in LPA (INR)	Average CTC in LPA (INR)	Median CTC in LPA (INR)	Highest CTC in LPA (INR)
CSE	2015	NA	35	6	11.88	13	22
ME	2015	NA	16	3.5	6.1575	5.11	12
EE	2015	NA	25	4.8	6.3	6	13
SS	2015	NA	17	6	7.38	6	22

M.Tech.							
Program	Passing out batch	Registered for Placement	Placed	Minimum CTC In LPA (INR)	Average CTC In LPA (INR)	Median CTC In LPA (INR)	Maximum CTC In LPA (INR)
CSE	2024	10	9	8.83	14.37	13.5	20
AI	2024	10	7	9.5	15.07	15	21
DCS	2024	10	8	7	11.44	11	20
SIOT	2024	4	4	10	12.13	13	13.39
CPS	2024	6	4	6.5	11.97	10.7	20
AMD	2024	15	11	7.2	10.89	10	13.39
TFE	2024	10	7	6	8.54	8	13.39
MT	2024	8	7	6.5	7.77	7.2	10
BB	2024	3	3	6	6.8	7.2	7.2
CH	2024	12	4	6.8	7.69	7.48	9
CI	2024	11	7	6.25	8.75	6.5	16

Intelligent Communication System	2024	7	6	7	11.53	7.6	27
Robotics and Mobility	2024	6	6	7.5	10.22	9.4	16

MTech 2023 passing batch	Passing out batch	Registered for Placement	Placed	Minimum CTC In LPA (INR)	Average CTC In LPA (INR)	Median CTC In LPA (INR)	Maximum CTC In LPA (INR)
CSE	2023	12	12	8.8	17.6	12.7	40
AI	2023	8	8	9.5	23.5	20	34
DCS	2023	8	7	11	20.6	16.75	34
CPS	2023	7	5	8	10.29	9.5	13.8
SIOT	2023	9	7	8	14.06	9.5	34
AMD	2023	16	13	6	9.65	10	15
TFE	2023	15	11	7	16.18	12.13	44.25
MT	2023	8	8	6.25	9.09	9.75	11.5
BB	2023	6	4	NA	NA	NA	NA
Chemical	2023	11	8	6.25	9.81	10	13
CI	2023	13	6	5	10.16	7	21.5

MTech 2022 passing batch	Passing out batch	Registered for Placement	Placed	Minimum CTC In LPA (INR)	Average CTC In LPA (INR)	Median CTC In LPA (INR)	Maximum CTC In LPA (INR)
CSE	2022	14	13	8.8	14.28	14	28.6
AI	2022	16	15	7.5	13.8	14.5	22.5
DCS	2022	10	10	8.1	11.9	12.75	16
CPS	2022	10	10	6.5	9.8	9.6	16

SIOT	2022	8	5	7	9.2	7	16
AMD	2022	11	9	6	9.4	9.5	16
TFE	2022	9	7	6.3	7.96	7	10
MT	2022	7	2	10	13	13	16
BB	2022	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Chemical	2022	11	7	5	10.6	9.13	17.7
CI	2022	19	8	5	10.7	10	16

Course	Passing out batch	Registered for Placement	Placed	Minimum CTC In LPA (INR)	Average CTC In LPA (INR)	Median CTC In LPA (INR)	Maximum CTC In LPA (INR)
MTech CSE	2021	11	7	8.4	9.94	8.8	12
MTech AI	2021	18	9	5	8.8	8.4	11.5
MTech DCS	2021	8	3	7.1	9.1	7.95	11.5
MTech CPS	2021	15	2	5	8.25	8.25	11.5
Mtech AMD	2021	9	2	6.5	6.8	6.8	7.1
TFE	2021	14	3	6.25	6.68	7.1	7.1
BB	2021	7	2	7.1	7.8	7.8	8.49
SIOT	2021	12	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
MT	2021	7	1	6.5	6.5	6.5	6.5

Course	Passing out batch	Registered for Placement	Placed	Minimum CTC In LPA (INR)	Average CTC In LPA (INR)	Median CTC In LPA (INR)	Maximum CTC In LPA (INR)
MTech CSE	2020	4	4	6.6	9.2	9.4	11.5
MTech ME	2020	12	1	11.5	11.5	11.5	11.5
MTech EE	2020	4	0	0	0	0	0

MTech MT	2020	6	0	0	0	0	0
----------	------	---	---	---	---	---	---

Course	Passing out batch	Registered for Placement	Placed	Minimum CTC in LPA (INR)	Average CTC in LPA (INR)	Median CTC in LPA (INR)	Maximum CTC in LPA (INR)
Mechanical	2019	19	7	6	6.46	6.25	8
Electrical	2019	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
BSBE	2019	2	1	11.5	11.5	11.5	11.5

Course	Passing out batch	Registered for Placement	Placed	Minimum CTC in LPA (INR)	Average CTC in LPA (INR)	Median CTC in LPA (INR)	Maximum CTC in LPA (INR)
Mechanical	2018	11	7	4.2	4.96	5.38	6
Electrical	2018	5	5	6	8.78	7.4	12
BSBE	2018	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

Course	Passing out batch	Registered for Placement	Placed	Minimum CTC in LPA (INR)	Average CTC in LPA (INR)	Median CTC in LPA (INR)	Maximum CTC in LPA (INR)
Mechanical	2017	12	1	6.5	6.5	6.5	6.5
Electrical	2017	12	1	10	10	10	10

M. Sc.

Course	Passing out batch	Registered for Placement	No of Placed student	Minimum CTC in LPA (INR)	Average CTC in LPA (INR)	Median CTC in LPA (INR)	Highest CTC in LPA (INR)
Chemistry	2024	7	5	7.2	7.78	7.5	9
Digital Humanities	2024	8	3	6	8	8	10

Mathematics	2024	8	6	4.8	6.675	7.2	7.5
Physics	2024	7	6	6.8	14.057	8.25	45.24
CSS	2024	1	1	NA	NA	NA	NA

Course	Passing out batch	Registered for Placement	No of Placed student	Minimum CTC in LPA (INR)	Average CTC in LPA (INR)	Median CTC in LPA (INR)	Highest CTC in LPA (INR)
Chemistry	2023	29	17	5.5	7.9	7.2	18.71
Digital Humanities	2023	8	4	2.52	4	3.252	7
Mathematics	2023	12	7	7	9.43	8.5	13.5
Physics	2023	12	6	6	9.1	9	13.5

Course	Passing out batch	Registered for Placement	No of Placed student	Minimum CTC in LPA (INR)	Average CTC in LPA (INR)	Median CTC in LPA (INR)	Highest CTC in LPA (INR)
Chemistry	2022	20	16	6	6.8	6	10.5
Digital Humanities	2022	15	8	3.6	9.61	6.35	24
Mathematics	2022	7	3	6.5	9.25	9.25	12
Physics	2022	14	0		0	0	0

Course	Passing out batch	Registered for Placement	No of Placed student	Minimum CTC in LPA (INR)	Average CTC in LPA (INR)	Median CTC in LPA (INR)	Highest CTC in LPA (INR)
M.Sc Mathematics	2020	4	1	6.5	6.5	6.5	6.5

M.Sc Chemistry	2020	6	2	8.78	8.78	8.78	8.78
----------------	------	---	---	------	------	------	------

M.Sc. - M.Tech.							
Course	Passing out batch	Registered for Placement	No of Placed student	Minimum CTC in LPA (INR)	Average CTC in LPA (INR)	Median CTC in LPA (INR)	Highest CTC in LPA (INR)
Mathematics -DCS	2024	8	3	7.2	12.4	10	20
Physics - MT	2024	5	4	7.2	7.85	7.6	9

Course	Passing out batch	Registered for Placement	No of Placed student	Minimum CTC in LPA (INR)	Average CTC in LPA (INR)	Median CTC in LPA (INR)	Highest CTC in LPA (INR)
DCS	2023	4	3	6.6	10.37	11.5	13

MBA						
AY Year	Total registered students for Placement	Students got Placed	Min. CTC In LPA (INR)	Overall average CTC In LPA (INR)	Median CTC In LPA (INR)	Maximum CTC In LPA (INR)
2023-24	76	70	5	11.37	10	24.8
2022-23	67	64	10	13.03	12	23.78
2021-22	56	56	8	13.02	12.77	21.32



ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IITRJ/A/E/24/00024

Applicant Name	Mihir Prakash
Text of Appeal	Reply not attached
Reply of Appeal	The CPIO while replying the RTI Reply inadvertently not uploaded the RTI Reply File. The same is being attached for information to the Applicant.



ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IITRJ/A/E/24/00025

Applicant Name

Manish Jinwala

Text of Appeal

The Central Public Information Officer (CPIO) of IIT Jodhpur has not provided the information sought in queries 12 and 14 of RTI No. IITRJ/R/E/24/00123. Query no. 12 seeks information regarding the expenditure incurred by IIT Jodhpur till date in filing/registration of patents, designs and copyrights. However, the CPIO has responded stating that the query is not clear. To be clear, Query no. 12 seeks information regarding the expenditure incurred by IIT Jodhpur till date in filing/registration of patents, designs and copyrights filed in the name of IIT Jodhpur or it's faculty/students/staff. Query no. 12 seek information regarding the names of incumbent members of institute IP Management Group (IIPMG) and Technology Transfer Group (TKTG) of IIT Jodhpur. The CPIO has refused to provide this information based on Section 8 (1) (g) of the RTI Act, 2005. Section 8 (1) (g) of the RTI Act, 2005 states the following: Notwithstanding anything contained in this Act, there shall be no obligation to give any citizen, information, the disclosure of which would endanger the life or physical safety of any person or identify the source of information or assistance given in confidence for law enforcement or security purposes; It is hereby brought to the notice of the First Appellate Authority (FAA) of IIT Jodhpur, that the information sought in query no. 12 of RTI No. IITRJ/R/E/24/00123, has been disclosed in the response to RTI No. IITRJ/R/E/22/00107 (enclosed). Hence, the grounds of withholding the information is incorrect. In view of the above, the FAA is hereby requested to direct the CPIO to provide the information sought in queries 12 and 14 of RTI No. IITRJ/R/E/24/00123.

Reply of Appeal

Please find responses as under: Query no. 12 seeks information regarding the expenditure incurred by IIT Jodhpur till date in filing/registration of patents, designs and copyrights. However, the CPIO has responded stating that the query is not clear. To be clear, Query no. 12 seeks information regarding the expenditure incurred by IIT Jodhpur till date in filing/registration of patents, designs and copyrights filed in the name of IIT Jodhpur or it's faculty/students/staff. Reply: INR 39,07,839/- (April 01, 2011 -till date) Query no. 14 seek information regarding the names of incumbent members of institute IP Management Group (IIPMG) and Technology Transfer Group (TKTG) of IIT Jodhpur. The CPIO has refused to provide this information based on Section 8 (1) (g) of the RTI Act, 2005. Reply: The reply provided by the CPIO is appropriate. In case you are not satisfied with the responses, you may prefer a second appeal at CIC.



ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IITRJ/A/E/24/00026

Applicant Name

Lakshmikant Pathak

Text of Appeal

As per RTI reply Point noi. 3, which state that the offer letter was issued to all selected candidate as per the result upload on the website, In this regards as i uploaded the list of selected candidate during RTI application, I want to inform you that Application no. 2024147514027 (Sl. 25 of the the available list on website) did not get any mail regarding admission offer however He/She was selected, Please provide below queries answer because your reply and list is contradictory. 1. Please provide the reason , why the offer letter was not issued to him/her? 2. No. of seats Available for M.tech CSE self financed course. 3. Till which Sl. No. of attached list, admission offer was issued if case your first reply is wrong? 4. Even mail was also sent by concerned applicant, but proper justification was not given, hence as per reputed organisation decoram , It is unfair and it shows the offer would be also issued to other candidate, Please reply.

Reply of Appeal

Please find the responses: Q. 1 Please provide the reason, why the offer letter was not issued to him/her? Ans: No vacancies available and the offer letter has been issued till S.N-24 according to the Merit Order. Q. 2 No. of seats available for M.Tech CSE self financed course. Ans: 10 seats for self-sponsored category in the MTech CSE program. Q. 3 Till which S.N. of attached list, admission offer was issued in case your first reply is wrong? Ans: S.N.-24 Q. 4 Even mail was also sent by concerned applicant, but proper justification was not given hence as per reputed organisation decoram, It is unfair and it shows the offer would be also issued to other candidate, please reply. Ans: Justification is not included as per section 2(f) of RTI Act 2005. Section 2(f): "information" means any material in any form, including records, documents, memos, e-mails, opinions, advices, press releases, circulars, orders, logbooks, contracts, reports, papers, samples, models, data material held in any electronic form and information relating to any private body which can be accessed by a public authority under any other law for the time being in force. In case if you are not satisfied with the responses, you may prefer a second appeal at CIC.



ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IITRJ/A/E/24/00027

Applicant Name

Pankaj C/o S.A.V.E.

Text of Appeal

I am filing this first appeal under section 19(1) of the right to information act, RTI as the response of my RTI was found to be unfulfilled. In R.K. Jain v. Department of Legal Affairs , the CIC has held that Passing orders in first appeal without hearing or sending hearing notice is illegal and will render the order invalid. The Commission sets aside the order of First Appellate Authority for violating RTI Act and breach of natural justice by denying the appellant a chance of presenting his case and by raising entirely a new defence which was never claimed. The Commission finds it deserves action though the concerned officer retired from service and recommends Public Authority to initiate disciplinary action against the concerned FAO for acting totally against the RTI Act in this case. GROUNDS FOR APPEAL RTI Act Section 7(9): Section 7(9) of the RTI Act states that information should not be denied merely because it is not available in the format requested. The authority is required to provide information that is held by them in any form. The details about surprise raids and CCTV installations should be available in some form or another. Request for Specific Data: Please provide the requested data regarding the dates, timings, and locations of raids, along with the details of CCTV installations. Such information is crucial for transparency regarding anti-ragging measures in the institution. Clarification of Information: The information requested is crucial and should be retrievable in some format. While the CPIO mentioned it is not available in the desired format, it should still be possible to provide the information in a reasonable manner as per RTI provisions. Accessibility of Information: Asking the general public to visit the institution to obtain information is impractical and may inhibit your right to information. The CPIO should provide the information via postal or electronic means instead. PRAYER I kindly request the Appellate Authority to review the responses and ensure that information sought should be provided with proper format. Comprehensive data is compiled and provided, including details on ragging incidents, administrative actions, and preventive measures also details about the security cameras installed in the institution working and nonworking should be provided. Provide the data in a clear and accurate manner so that it does not become a barrier between the transparency maintained by the institution and the general public.

Reply of Appeal

Dear Appellant, With reference to your appeal, please find the response as under. A total of 579 functional and 02 non-functional cameras are installed in the institute including hostels at the prominent locations. The Security office is open 24x7 for all & the security and caretakers are kept vigilant in the whole campus to prevent any type of incidents related to ragging/ humiliation of students. In order to create awareness regarding the ragging incidents, various preventive measures are taken such as anti-ragging posters are displayed and installation of CCTV cameras, etc. There are many surprise visits conducted by the Hostel Wardens/ Caretakers as a part of Anti Ragging Squad as and when required. There is no fixed timeline for the same. In case if you are not satisfied, you may prefer a second appeal at CIC.



ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IITRJ/A/E/24/00028

Applicant Name

Mukesh Prajapat

Text of Appeal

I wants following information regarding employment in iit jodhpur 1. Is there any new vacancy for nursing staff/NURSING OFFICER/ SENIOR NURSING OFFICER in iit jodhpur?2.If there is new vacancy then when will be recruitment Advertisement to be released for nursing staff/NURSING OFFICER/SENIOR NURSING OFFICER in iit jodhpur?Please provide information for the above mentioned queries.

Reply of Appeal

Reply provided by Central Public Information Officer (CPIO), IIT Jodhpur is appropriate.



ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IITRJ/A/E/24/00029

Applicant Name

Ashish Shankar

Text of Appeal

Indian Institute of Technology, Jodhpur also be responsible for the killing of the Hon. PM Shri Narendra Modi , as it is not alerting the Hon. PM Shri Narendra Modi of impending attack by traitors and CIA. After killing the Hon. PM Shri Narendra Modi traitor P K Mishra will escape to Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) headquarters. Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) , Corrupt Police Officials and traitor P K Mishra wants the Hon. PM Shri Narendra Modi dead , so that treason matter is closed silently. As the Hon. PM Shri Modi is attacked by traitors under CIA Instructions and is dead , then Delhi Police and traitor P K Mishra will escape punishment of treason. Therefore they want Hon. PM Shri Modi dead. Death of Hon. PM Shri Modi under attack of traitors present in PMO is a imminent danger. Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri - previous PM was also killed by CIA in Tashkent , by poisoning. The throat of Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri become deep blue in colour , at the time of death , by special poison of the CIA. From slave of the British Empire to Technological slave of the United States of America (due to Jaichands) - is unfortunate destiny of India. India will become - Technological slave of the United States of America (for the next 50 years) due to Treason of the Traitor P K Mishra - PMO Principal Secretary - Agent of CIA / United States of America (Jaichand). Loot of 160 Billion dollars / Rs 13 lakh crores - Largest loot in the history of the world.

Reply of Appeal

The reply provided by the Central Public Information Officer (CPIO), IIT Jodhpur is appropriate.



ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IITRJ/A/E/24/00030

Applicant Name

MANISH DIGHE

Text of Appeal

(1) For RTI query no. 01 & 2, it is clear in section Section 8(1)(j) in The Right to Information Act, 2005: Provided that the information which cannot be denied to the Parliament or a State Legislature shall not be to any person. Therefore, please provide the information of that Govt. order which shows that the information requested by me can be denied to the Parliament or a State Legislature. (2) For RTI query no. 03, I have not requested for the final result, I have requested for the information in certified copy of that approval/order on which ground I had been over aged for the said post.

Reply of Appeal

1. The reply in response to Q.1 and Q.2 given by the CPIO was appropriate. 2. The list of Not Shortlisted candidates for the post of Executive Engineer was already provided as Annexure-A in the Reply No. IITRJ/R/E/24/00159 dated 19 September 2024. In Advt. No. IITJ/2018-19/Non-Academic Staff /25 dated 31st January 2019, in General Instructions to Applicants, it was mentioned that: "Age, qualification and experience will be calculated on the closing date of online submission of applications." The last date for the submission of the online application for this advertisement was 15.03.2019. Since the Date of Birth of the applicant was 06.09.1968, therefore it was considered as "Overaged". Therefore, the reply given by the CPIO was appropriate. In case, if you are not satisfied with the Order of the First Appellate Authority, you may prefer a Second Appeal to the CIC.



ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IITRJ/A/E/24/00031

Applicant Name

Ashish Shankar

Text of Appeal

Traitor P K Mishra will cut the head of Hon. PM Shri Narendra Modi with special knife provided by Central Intelligence Agency and gift the head to CIA. Before reaching , right platform Hon. PM Shri Narendra Modi will be dead , as Jaichand in PMO. When Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) killed Chairperson of the Atomic Energy Commission of India Bhabha , even the dead body of Bhabha was not found. Traitor P K Mishra can kill Hon. PM Shri Narendra Modi and disappear the body. Rivers of Hindu blood is flowing in Bangladesh. Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) United States of America staged coup (Overthrown the Govt.) in Bangladesh in July - August 2024 , which lead to killing of Thousands of Hindus and planning killing Hon. PM Shri Modi in India , with the help of Traitor P K Mishra - PMO Principal Secretary - Agent of CIA / United States of America (Jaichand). CIA operation will complete with killing of Hon. PM Shri Modi. Traitor P K Mishra can kill Hon. PM Shri Narendra Modi anytime. Loot of 160 Billion dollars / Rs 13 lakh crores - Largest loot in India , since Independence - Hon. PM Shri Narendra Modi , in total danger , from CIA Agent traitors in PMO.

Reply of Appeal

No information is sought in this RTI Appeal. Hence, ground of First Appeal is not clear. Moreover, IIT Jodhpur is an educational institution.



ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST-APPEAL No.IITRJ/A/E/24/00032

Applicant Name

Nikhil Sharma

Text of Appeal

Provide incomplete information and second candidate graduation details not provided from which university or college. And not give information about the complaint. 1. In recruitment number IIT/O(EI)/202223/NonAcademic Staff/491. From which university and college did the applicants with application number IITJJ22NAS4944 and IITJJ22NAS49729 do their degree and in which year and in which subject, please mention the name and year of the said university in the information and provide a photocopy of the degree. A verified copy of the degree and marksheet of employee Shubham Arora should be provided. What action have you taken in relation to the complaint mail sent by me to the Honorable Director of IIT Jodhpur on 9/10/2024? Please provide information.

Reply of Appeal

Q1: Reply provided by the CPIO is appropriate. Photocopy of Degree is a personal information, hence this information cannot be provided under Section 8(1)(j) of RTI Act. Q.2 and Q.3- Reply provided by CPIO is appropriate. You may prefer a Second Appeal to CIC, in case if you are satisfied with the reply.



ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST-APPEAL No.IITRJ/A/E/25/00001

Applicant Name

Sahil Garg

Text of Appeal

Dear Sir/Madam, I am writing to appeal against the response provided to my RTI application. As per your response, the information I sought has been deemed difficult to provide due to the large volume of data requested under a single application. However, I believe that certain aspects of my request, specifically regarding the admission and academic outcomes of disabled students, are readily available and can be shared without significant resource diversion. I would like to request that the following information be provided for the years 2015 to 2024 or from the year the institute existed till 2024:

1. The total number of disabled students admitted to your institution (data should include year wise, course wise, gender wise, disability type wise, and age breakdown) 2. The number of disabled students who withdrew from the institution during their academic courses (data should include year wise, course wise, gender wise, disability type wise breakdown). I acknowledge the challenges in providing detailed data for all aspects of my original request but believe that the information requested above is both feasible and valuable for the purposes of my inquiry. This information will help us better understand the institutions inclusion efforts, highlight areas for improvement, and assist in advocating for better support systems for disabled students. It will also help your institution evaluate its accessibility measures and planning for future initiatives.

Reply of Appeal

Q1. The information on disability types and age breakdown is not available. The available information is produced below. Enrollment Details: • 2015: One male student enrolled in the B.Tech. program. • 2016: Four male students enrolled in the B.Tech. program. • 2017: One female and three male students enrolled in the B.Tech. program. • 2018: Three male students enrolled in the B.Tech. program. • 2019: Two male students enrolled in the B.Tech. program. Additionally, one female and one male student enrolled in the Ph.D. program. • 2020: Five male students enrolled in the B.Tech. program. One female student enrolled in the M.Sc. program, and two male students enrolled in the M.Tech. program. • 2021: One female and four male students enrolled in the B.Tech. program. Two male students enrolled in the M.Tech. program, and one male student enrolled in the Ph.D. program. • 2022: One female and three male students enrolled in the B.Tech. program. One male student enrolled in the M.Tech. program, one male student enrolled in a PG Diploma/ Certificate program, and one male student enrolled in the Ph.D. program. • 2023: One female and four male students enrolled in the B.Tech. program. One male student enrolled in the M.Tech. program, and one male student each enrolled in the MBA FCS, PG Diploma & PhD program • 2024: Four female in BTech and one female in BSc-B.Ed. This data provides insight into the participation of students with disabilities in various academic programs over the years. Q2. The reply provided by CPIO is appropriate. In case if you have not satisfied with the reply, you may prefer a second appeal to CIC.



ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IITRJ/A/E/25/00002

Applicant Name

RAJENDRA KUMAR

Text of Appeal

Replies to Query No 2 and 3 are not relevant to the Queries for the reasons given below : 1. In Query No 2, I have not mentioned Third Party Inspection. Rather, I have specifically asked copy of all correspondence between RHB and IIT Jodhpur regarding AIS Residency Phase 1. Where as ,CPIO has replied that No Third Party Inspection registered between RHB and IIT Jodhpur. This reply is irrelevant and misleading. It is reiterated that my Query No 2 is not restricted to the documents related to Third Party Inspection. It is therefore once again requested to provide the specific information as asked for. 2. In Query No 3 also, I have not mentioned Third Party Inspection. Rather, I have specifically asked Purpose of Visit of IIT jodhpur team to AIS Residency Phase 1 on 17 Dec 2024 and copy of documents furnished by RHB to the team. Whereas, CPIO has replied that the visit of IIT Jodhpur team to AIS Residency on 17 Dec 2024 was not part of any Third Party inspection project with RHB. This reply is irrelevant and misleading. It is reiterated that my Query No 3 is not restricted to the Third Party Inspection. It is therefore once again requested to provide the specific information as asked for. It is humbly submitted that such misleading response was not expected from an IIT. Hope truth and merit will prevail.

Reply of Appeal

Q.2 The visit on 17th December 2024 by IIT Jodhpur officials to Jaipur was not related to any third-party inspection of AIS Residency.
Q.3 The purpose of the visit to Jaipur on 17th December 2024 was for conducting a feasibility study in Jaipur for proposed IIT Jodhpur Extension Campus-related activity. You may prefer second appeal to CIC, if not satisfied with information provided.



ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IITR.J/A/E/25/00003

Applicant Name

Susmita Chandra

Text of Appeal

The reason provided for not furnishing the requested information is not satisfactory as I have clearly mentioned the application number and roll number which is good enough for finding the name of the applicant. The name of the applicant is a part of the mandatory fields that has to be submitted while filing the application, which I did but was not captured by the website due to their own glitch (As a proof the receipt is uploaded). Kindly furnish the requested details made via RTI registration number IITR.J/R/E/24/00201

Reply of Appeal

With reference to your RTI Appeal, the replies are as under: Point No. 1: Question paper (Junior Technical Assistant (Animal House)) will be uploaded on the Institute's website at the end of completion of Recruitment drive advertised under Advertisement No. 57. Point No. 2 & 3: Information cannot be disclosed under Section 8(1)(j) of RTI Act. However, the marks obtained in Stage-I test: 54 and Marks obtained in Stage-II test :18. Point No. 4: Information cannot be disclosed under Section 8(1)(j) of RTI Act. Point No. 5: As per the performance of the candidate in Stage-I & II. In case if you are not satisfied with the reply, you may prefer Second Appeal to the CIC.



ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IITRJ/A/E/25/00004

Applicant Name

MONENDER MEENA

Text of Appeal

Till now I have not been informed by IIT Jodhpur when will the result of Apprentice 2024 be declared. If the Apprentice selected candidates have got appointment, then release their list, which candidates got how many jobs

Reply of Appeal

The Reply provided by the CPIO is appropriate.