



भारतीय प्रौद्योगिकी संस्थान जोधपुर  
Indian Institute of Technology Jodhpur  
NH-62, Nagaur Road, Karwad, Jodhpur-342030  
eMail: [cpio@iitj.ac.in](mailto:cpio@iitj.ac.in)



# RTI Appeals Responses

## From April 2025 to March 2026



**ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IITRJ/A/E/25/00009**

**Applicant Name**

[REDACTED]

**Text of Appeal**

The CPIO DOES NOT REPLIED THE CONTENT WITHIN THE TIME LIMIT PLEASE PROVIDE THE INFORMATION AS MENTIONED IN ORIGINAL RTI COPY ENCLOSED

**Reply of Appeal**

The reply is already provided by the CPIO on 22.04.2025. Due to some unforeseen circumstances and technical issues in RTI portal, information was not provided within time limit.



**ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IITRJ/A/E/25/00010**

**Applicant Name**

[REDACTED]

**Text of Appeal**

1. Need to know the meaning of regular employees (Does a probationer count as a regular employee or not?) 2. Please let us know whether application number R0224JTY10165 was shortlisted for Stage I of the test. If yes, was the individual on probation or not?

**Reply of Appeal**

Q.No.1- No fresh question can be entertained at Appeal Stage.  
Q.No.2: The information pertains to other applicant, therefore, exempted under the 8(1)(j) of RTI Act 2005. If you are not satisfied, you may prefer a second appeal to CIC.



भारतीय प्रौद्योगिकी संस्थान जोधपुर  
Indian Institute of Technology Jodhpur  
NH-62, Nagaur Road, Karwad, Jodhpur-342030  
eMail: [cpio@iitj.ac.in](mailto:cpio@iitj.ac.in)



**ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IITRJ/A/E/25/00011**

**Applicant Name**

**Text of Appeal**

Sir/Madam, No reply provided by IIT Jodhpur within stipulated time limit, according to RTI Act, 2005.

**Reply of Appeal**

The CPIO provided information on 8th April 2025 which is well within the time limit. In case if you are not satisfied with the reply, you may prefer Second Appeal to the CIC.



भारतीय प्रौद्योगिकी संस्थान जोधपुर  
Indian Institute of Technology Jodhpur  
NH-62, Nagaur Road, Karwad, Jodhpur-342030  
eMail: [cpio@iitj.ac.in](mailto:cpio@iitj.ac.in)



ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IITRJ/A/E/25/00012

Applicant Name



Text of Appeal

Pdf file is attached .

Reply of Appeal

Q.1: A copy of Skill Test Paper of Mr. Rahul is attached. Q.2.  
Panel/waiting list for UR category for the said post is given below:  
UR Waiting 11018 10010 10573 10276



**ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IITRJ/A/E/25/00013**

**Applicant Name**

[REDACTED]

**Text of Appeal**

Dear sir/Madam Please refer to rti request number IItRJ/R/E/25/00066.i asked for list of marks obtained by candidate but in response i get reply that personal information cant be shared as per rti section 8 (1)(j). So I request you to provide roll number/application no wise final list of marks obtained by candidates without disclosing thier name or personal information.As the recruitment process is completed it is my right to know which candidate is selected what is highest marks/lowest marks secured by candidate etc. and please note that only stage 1 cutoff is provided and total cutoff is not provided,it is creating confusion that what is actual cutoff. Please provide complete information.

**Reply of Appeal**

The reply provided by the CPIO is appropriate. If you are not satisfied, you may prefer a second appeal to CIC.



**ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IITRJ/A/E/25/00014**

**Applicant Name**

[REDACTED]

**Text of Appeal**

The information requested is not provided. 10th and 12th marks are not personal information, they need to be shared if recruiting on a government position. It is not answered that did he get house allotment before joining the institute. It is not answered that person is not favoured in any way.

**Reply of Appeal**

With reference to Q.No.3, the information is exempted under 8(1)(j). Hence, the reply provided by the CPIO is appropriate. Further, the reply provided by the CPIO is respect of House Allotment that "The allotment of the House has been done as per the House Allotment Rules 2024" is appropriate. If you are not satisfied, you may prefer a second appeal to CIC.



**ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IITRJ/A/E/25/00015**

**Applicant Name**

[REDACTED]

**Text of Appeal**

I filed the RTI on 1st april 2025, and I have not received any response within the time limit, please look into it.

**Reply of Appeal**

The reply is already provided by the CPIO on 08.05.2025. Due to technical glitch in the RTI portal, the information could not be provided within time limit.



ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IITRJ/A/E/25/00017

Applicant Name

[REDACTED]

Text of Appeal

ToThe First Appellate Authority (FAA) Indian Institute of Technology, Jodhpur. Subject: First Appeal under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005 No Response Received. Respected Sir/Madam, I, Rakesh Kumar Sharma, a citizen of India, hereby file this First Appeal under Section 19(1) of the Right to Information Act, 2005, due to nonreceipt of information within the stipulated period of 30 days.I had submitted an RTI request with Registration Number IITRJ/R/E/25/00079 on the RTI Online portal, which was received by the Public Information Officer of IIT Jodhpur. As per the RTI Act, the information should have been provided to me within 30 days, but I have not received any response to date.Details of RTI Application:Name of Applicant: Rakesh Kumar Sharma. Date of RTI Filing: 09 April 2025 Public Authority: Indian Institute of Technology, Jodhpur Registration Number: IITRJ/R/E/25/00079 Mode of Filing: RTI Online. Grounds for Appeal:As per Section 7(1) of the RTI Act, it is mandatory for the PIO to provide information within 30 days. However, no reply has been received so far, which is a violation of the provisions of the RTI Act.Relief Sought:I kindly request you to direct the concerned CPIO to furnish the information sought at the earliest. Also, please initiate action as per the provisions of the RTI Act for the delay in response.I have attached a copy of the original RTI application for your ready reference.Thanking you, Yours sincerely, (Rakesh Kumar Sharma) Ex Junior Warrant Officer Indian Air Force (Retd.)Mobile: 918334964455. Email: [flyingadoli@gmail.com](mailto:flyingadoli@gmail.com). Address: HOUSE NUMBER 4P/44, FIRST FLOOR, TALWANDI, SECTOR 4, NEAR NAMA STUDIO, Rajasthan, India

Reply of Appeal

The reply of the RTI Application was not provided within the time limit. However, the information has already been provided on 30.05.2025. The CPIO has been requested to provide the information in timely manner in future. If you are not satisfied, you may prefer a second appeal to CIC.



**ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IITRJ/A/E/25/00018**

**Applicant Name**

[REDACTED]

**Text of Appeal**

This is regarding Volumetric PIV System Tender raised through GEM Portal : GEM/2025/B/6065055 dtd 1 Apr 2025. The provided tender specification was skewed towards a particular company. More over no technical pre bid meeting was conducted for this tender. I would like to understand the criteria for this tender specification. Kindly share the same.

**Reply of Appeal**

The Tender specification were based on the specific requirements based on the objectives of project.



ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IITRJA/E/25/00019

Applicant Name

Text of Appeal

Reply of Appeal

Which Q I ask in rti application they not give answer properly. want to know what is institution guideline. Proper way

The reply of RTI appeal of Mr. Nikhil Sharma, vide Registration No. IITRJA/E/25/00019 dated 03.06.2025 is given below: Information Sought: 1. What are the rules and regulations under which the document verification process of finally selected candidates is conducted in any department of your institution, either before or after they assume the post? As per the DoPT recruitment guidelines, within what time frame should the degree verification of a candidate be completed? Reply: The document verification process is conducted as per the Institute's guidelines and procedures applicable to the advertised post, based on the eligibility criteria defined in the approved Recruitment Rules. No such information is available. You may refer to the applicable guidelines for details. 2. Kindly provide the detailed procedure for document verification in the direct recruitment process for the following posts: Technical Post: Digital Content Designer Non-Technical Post: Junior Assistant Reply: Document verification is carried out in the presence of the candidate by physically examining the original certificates/documents. 3. What is the method followed for verification of documents (especially degree verification)? In the direct recruitments conducted in the past two years, what was the duration taken to complete the document verification process for the selected candidates? Reply: The Institute conducts document verification by physically inspecting the original certificates/documents of the candidates. There is no prescribed time limit for completing the document verification process for selected candidates. 4. Can an employee continue to serve on a statutory post without document degree verification? If yes, under which rules is he/she entitled to receive salary from the government funds while currently serving? What action is taken as per rules if a degree is found to be invalid during the verification process? Reply: The Institute follows provisions of the Act & Statutes of the Institute and applicable Govt. of India Rules. If you are not satisfied, may prefer a second appeal to the CIC.



ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IITRJ/A/E/25/00020

Applicant Name

[REDACTED]

Respected Sir/Madam, With regards to the application number: IITRJ/R/T/25/00040, The PIO has refused to furnish the information on the ground that placements are still ongoing and data not yet compiled. This is not a valid reason to withhold the information.

Text of Appeal

Under the RTI Act, if information exists in any form whether draft, preliminary or partial it must be disclosed. Moreover, In the above mentioned RTI, the applicant has already asked that provide the data even if the session is on going or the data is incomplete. The PIO order is contrary to Section 2(f) and Section 2(j) of the Act. Even incomplete or interim statistics are information and hence must be provided. The delay and refusal amount to denial of applicant right under the Act. Therefore, direct the PIO to furnish, within the time prescribed by the Act, all available placement data as requested in the above mentioned application.

Reply of Appeal

Although, placements and data compilation are still under process for AY 2024-25, provisional data for the AY 2024-25 is attached. If you are not satisfied with the reply, may prefer second appeal to the CIC.



**ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IITRJ/A/E/25/00021**

**Applicant Name**

[REDACTED]

As per the recruitment rules, the post of Deputy Registrar requires experience at the level of Assistant Registrar or equivalent, which is at pay level 10 as per 7th CPC. However, the experience can be obtained from organisations/PSUs following the IDA pattern.

**Text of Appeal**

Therefore, it is imperative that there be an equivalent pay scale in the IDA pattern to the Pay Level 10 in 7th CPC. Recruitment would have taken place for the post of Deputy Registrar when the pay scale in IDA pattern, equivalent to Pay Level 10 in CDA pattern, would have been considered by the Institute. The same may please be provided. Simply not giving information is suppressing the information and giving a misleading reply. Therefore, the CPIO gave a misleading reply. I request that the information sought be given at the earliest.

**Reply of Appeal**

No such specific information is available. Applicable Govt. guidelines / rules/norms are being followed from case to case basis based on applicability.



ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IITRJ/A/E/25/00022

Applicant Name

[REDACTED]

Text of Appeal

I am filing this first appeal regarding my RTI application dated 31/05/2025, with RTI Request No. IITRJ/R/E/25/00111, in which I had specifically requested the following information: GATE Cutoff Scores: Category-wise cutoff scores (GEN, OBC-NCL, SC, ST, EWS) for all rounds of admission. However, in response, I was only provided a web link that led to a PDF document containing general admission eligibility criteria, not the actual GATE scores of admitted students, as I had requested. I sincerely apologize if any part of my original query led to misunderstanding or ambiguity. I am using this appeal to clearly convey my actual request. I am not seeking eligibility criteria. I am requesting the actual GATE score of the last admitted student in each category (GEN, OBC-NCL, SC, ST, EWS) for the years 2020–2024 for all rounds of admission. My RTI request was for factual data, preferably in tabular format, and not just links. I therefore request you to kindly consider this as my first appeal under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act and direct the concerned PIO to provide the correct and complete information as per my original RTI request. Thank you for your time and kind attention to this matter.

Reply of Appeal

The required information is attached.



**ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IITRJ/A/E/25/00023**

**Applicant Name**

The IIT Jodhpur had advertised the post of Junior Engineer (Civil, Electrical & Mechanical) vide Advt. No.: IITJ/O(EII)/202425/Non Academic Staff/57 dtd. 08.04.2024. The result for the post of Junior Engineer (Civil, Electrical & Mechanical) published on institute website conveying Roll no. of selected candidates only. In this regard, vide RTI no. IITRJ/R/E/25/00101 dtd. 22.05.2025, it was requested under Right to Information Act, 2005 to provide the Marks obtained by the candidates appeared for Stage I and Stage II for the post of Junior Engineer (Civil, Electrical & Mechanical) (Pay Level: 06) Post: 01UR (Mechanical), 01SC (Electrical) and 01OBC (Civil) & 01 EWS (Civil) (Post Code 5743) Advt. No.: IITJ/O(EII)/202425/Non Academic Staff/57 dated 08.04.2024. In response to above mentioned RTI dtd. 22.05.2025, vide letter no.

**Text of Appeal**

IITJ/RTI/CPIO/202526/89 dtd. 11.06.2025 (copy attached), it was conveyed that The information is exempted under Section 8(1)(j) of the RTI Act. It is to convey that as per the Judgement passed by Honorable High Court of Judicature at Bombay in the matter of Sh. Onkar Dattatray Kalmankar Vs Public Information Officer and Registrar and Anr. (WRIT Petition no. 9648 of 2021) (copy attached) the disclosure of the marks obtained by the candidates in the written test, typing test and interviewers did not constitute any exempted information or did not affect the confidentiality of the exam so conducted. Furthermore, by the judgement dtd. 07.02.2025, in the matter of Public Information Officer and Registrar and Anr. Versus Sh. Onkar Dattatray Kalmankar and Anr. (SLP(c) no. 2783/2025) the Honorable Supreme Court of India upheld the judgement passed by Honorable High Court of Judicature at Bombay in the matter of Sh. Onkar Dattatray Kalmankar Vs Public Information Officer and others (WRIT Petition no. 9648 of 2021). The court held that The issue relates to disclosure of marks of other candidates in an examination. We are also of the view that the disclosure of the marks though may fall in the category of personal information, yet the disclosure of this personal information is presently necessary in public interest, and therefore, it is not an information which cannot be given by the Information Officer under the RTI Act, 2005. To the contrary, such an information must be disclosed in order to maintain transparency in the process. Being aggrieved and dissatisfied with the reply as provided by the CPIO dtd. 11.06.2025, under the statutory provisions of the captioned Act, in exercise of my rights and privileges conferred thereupon it is requested to provide the Marks obtained by the candidates appeared for Stage I and Stage II for the post of Junior Engineer (Civil, Electrical & Mechanical) (Pay Level: 06) Post: 01UR (Mechanical), 01SC (Electrical) and 01OBC (Civil) & 01 EWS (Civil) (Post Code 5743) Advt. No.: IITJ/O(EII)/202425/Non Academic Staff/57 dated 08.04.2024.

**Reply of Appeal**

The information sought pertains to confidential matters and is exempt from disclosure under Section 8(1)(j) of the RTI Act, 2005. If you are not satisfied, you may prefer a second appeal to CIC.



ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IITRJ/A/E/25/00024

Applicant Name

[REDACTED]

Text of Appeal

Please Open The attachment PDF file

Reply of Appeal

The information is attached. If you are not satisfied, may prefer a second appeal to the CIC.



ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IITRJ/A/E/25/00025

Applicant Name

I am filing this first appeal of the RTI Act, 2005 against the denial of information by the Public Information Officer (PIO), who has invoked Section 8(1)(g) to withhold the following information: 1. Copy of the approved selection committee for the post of Manager (ICT) Networking and Manager (ICT) System Administration. 2. Copy of the selection committee recommendation of the final selected candidates for the above posts. Grounds for Appeal Misapplication of Section 8(1)(g) Section 8(1)(g) exempts from disclosure only that information the disclosure of which would endanger the life or physical safety of any person or identify the source of information or assistance given in confidence for law enforcement or security purposes. I have not sought any addresses, contact details, or sensitive personal information only the names and designations of committee members and selected candidates after the conclusion of the recruitment process. There is no reasonable apprehension that mere disclosure of names would endanger anyone life or physical safety. Recruitment Process Transparency The recruitment process is already completed. Disclosure of the names of selection committee members and selected candidates is a matter of public record and ensures transparency and accountability in public appointments. The Supreme Court and various High Courts have recognized that transparency in public recruitment is in the larger public interest unless a specific, credible threat to safety is demonstrated. Larger Public Interest Section 8(2) of the RTI Act provides that information which cannot be denied to the Legislature shall not be denied to any person. The information sought relates to public recruitment and is vital for ensuring fairness and accountability in government functioning. I respectfully request that the Appellate Authority Direct the PIO to provide the names and designations of the members of the selection committee and the names of the selected candidates (excluding any sensitive personal details such as addresses or contact numbers etc). If any part of the information is considered sensitive, provide the nonsensitive portions and justify in writing the specific reasons for withholding any part under Section 8(1)(g) with supporting evidence of a real threat. Section 8(1)(g) RTI Act Exemption applies only where disclosure would endanger life or safety, not for routine administrative disclosures. Judicial precedents require a specific threat, not a general assertion, to invoke this exemption. The information sought does not pertain to any law enforcement matter, nor does it pose any threat to physical safety. It is essential for transparency and public confidence in the recruitment process. Therefore, the denial under Section 8(1)(g) is unjustified. Kindly consider this appeal favorably and direct disclosure of the requested information. The original RTI copy and reply are attached herewith for your reference.

Text of Appeal

Reply of Appeal

The reply provided by the CPIO is appropriate. If you are not satisfied, may prefer a Second Appeal to CIC.



**ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IITRJ/A/E/25/00026**

**Applicant Name**

REFUSED ACCESS TO INFORMATION REQUESTED. OTHER OLDER IITs HAVE PROMPTLY PROVIDED THE REQUESTED INFORMATION SIMPLY FROM THEIR DATABASE. Please provide academic year wise enrolment figures from academic year 20052006 to academic year 20242025 comprising of all students enrolled split into male, female, General, OBCNCL, SC, ST, EWS, General male, OBCNCL male, SC male, ST male, EWS male. Please provide the figures yearwise in a table format for all the 23 IITs. Data listed on IIT Council website is not complete. This data is required for academic research purpose.

**Text of Appeal**

**Reply of Appeal**

The reply provided by the CPIO is appropriate. If you are not satisfied, may prefer a second appeal to the CIC.



ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IITRJ/A/E/25/00027

Applicant Name

[REDACTED]

PROVIDED INCOMPLETE, MISLEADING OR FALSE INFORMATION. AT LEAST ONE STUDENT SUICIDE (KUNAL PARASWANI) TOOK PLACE IN IIT JODHPUR CAMPUS IN APRIL 2014 AS PER MEDIA AND POLICE REPORTS THEREFORE FALSE INFORMATION IS PROVIDED IN VIOLATION OF RTI ACT. Please provide the name, age, gender, caste/category, academic program (BT/MT/PhD/etc.), native state, date of suicide, college name, location of death (whether inside campus or outside) of all students or scholars or research staff who died by suicide (unnatural death) since 1st January 2005 till date in all the 23 IITs. This information is required for academic research purpose hence kindly do not deny the information or hide any information. Name can be withheld if required.

Text of Appeal

Reply of Appeal

The reply provided by the CPIO is appropriate.



ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IITRJ/A/E/25/00028

Applicant Name

[REDACTED]

To: The First Appellate Authority, Indian Institute of Technology Jodhpur, NH 62, Surapura Bypass Road, Karwar, Jodhpur 342037, Rajasthan, India. Subject: First Appeal against nonresponse to RTI application regarding academic misconduct allegations for Executive PG Program in AI and ML. Respected Sir/Madam, I, Shubham Arora, am filing this First Appeal under Section 19(1) of the Right to Information Act, 2005, against the failure of the Public Information Officer (PIO) of IIT Jodhpur to respond to my RTI application within the stipulated time period. Original RTI Details: Application Date: May 23, 2025, Applicant: Shubham Arora, Roll Number: G24AIT2060, Program: Executive PG Program in AI and ML, Fee Paid: 10.  
Grounds for Appeal: (1) Noncompliance with Section 7(1) of RTI Act, 2005: The PIO was legally bound to provide requested information within 30 days. As of today (June 26, 2025), 34 days have elapsed with no response received. (2) Complete failure to respond or acknowledge the RTI application violates PIOs statutory duties. (3) No communication received regarding receipt acknowledgment, additional fee, transfer, or response. Information Sought: Original application sought 13 specific information requests across 4 sections related to allegations of academic misconduct and institutes decision to cancel examination results affecting 160 students, including official orders, evidence against me, committee details, academic policies, and appeal processes. Relief Sought: (1) Direct PIO to provide complete information within 15 days of this appeal. (2) Take appropriate action against PIO for noncompliance. (3) Ensure future compliance with RTI Act obligations. This appeal addresses the complete failure of PIO to respond to my legitimate RTI application. The information is crucial for understanding procedural fairness of institutes actions causing significant academic and reputational harm. I request urgent intervention to ensure RTI Act compliance. Thank you. Yours sincerely, Shubham Arora, Date: June 26, 2025.  
The CPIO has provided appropriate reply and sensitized to furnish reply within time limit.

Text of Appeal

Reply of Appeal



**ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IITRJ/A/E/25/00029**

**Applicant Name**

[REDACTED]

**Text of Appeal**

THE GIVEN INFORMATION IN POINT NUMBER 3 AND 5 IS COMPLETELY FALSE. AS IT HAS BEEN ONE YEAR COMPLETED SINCE 2024 BATCH PASSED OUT AS WELL AS 2025 BATCH ALSO GOT DEGREE RECENTLY BUT REMAINING MESS ADVANCED HAS NOT REPAYED BY THE INSTITUTE TO 2024 BATCH ITSELF. I AM ATTACHING MY MAIL CONVERSATION WITH MESS IIT JODHPUR THROUGH GOOGLE MAIL. THERE IS HAS BEEN CLEARLY MENTIONED IN CONVERSATION THAT MESS AMOUNT IS DUE.

**Reply of Appeal**

The final settlement of the mess advance and process of refund initiated after graduation or exit from the Academic Session after the reconciliation of the mess account and the approval of the Competent Authority. In case you are not satisfied, you may prefer second appeal to CIC.



**ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IITRJ/A/E/25/00030**

**Applicant Name**

[REDACTED]

**Text of Appeal**

The information provided by the PIO is incomplete and does not address the specific queries raised in my RTI application for the question no. 1. The reply merely directs me to the institute website for future recruitment, which does not contain the requested details about current categorywise vacancies. As per the RTI Act, I am entitled to receive the information, which has not been cited in the reply. I request the Appellate Authority to direct the PIO to provide a pointwise, complete response to my original queries within a stipulated time frame.

**Reply of Appeal**

The reply is attached. If you are not satisfied, may prefer a second appeal to the CIC.



**ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IITRJ/A/E/25/00031**

**Applicant Name**

To, Date: 09/07/2025  
The Public Information Officer  
Office of Recruitment (NF)  
Admin Block (East), Nagaur Road, Karwar 342030,  
Jodhpur  
From, SUMIT KATARIA / o Sh. SUKHPAL KATARIA  
Address: H.NO.222/4, AMBEDKAR NAGAR JWALAPUR, HARIDWAR,  
UTTARAKHAND, India, PIN: 249407  
Email: [sumitkataria1986@gmail.com](mailto:sumitkataria1986@gmail.com)  
Phone: 7017252858

**Text of Appeal**

Subject: Request for Information under the RTI Act, 2005, regarding Advertisement No. IITJ/O(EII)/202425/NonAcademic/57, dated 08/04/2024 for the post of Junior Engineer (Post Code 5743)  
Respected Sir/Madam, I, Sumit Kataria (SC category), appeared in the Stage I written examination (Paper I) for the post of Junior Engineer held on 28/02/2025 (Friday). After qualifying for Stage I, I appeared in the Stage II main examination conducted on the same date. The final result for this post was published. Regrettably, the list of selected candidates does not include my name. Under the provisions of the Right to Information Act, 2005, I kindly request you to provide the following details: 1. My marks selected candidates in Stage I. 2. My marks selected candidates in Stage II (Skill Test). Please find enclosed a postal order of INR 10 towards the RTI application fee and a photocopy of my admit card for your reference. Thank you for your assistance. Yours faithfully, Sumit Kataria  
Application No.: R0224JRE10758  
Roll No.: 10758

**Reply of Appeal**

The reply provided by the CPIO is appropriate. If you are not satisfied, may prefer a second appeal to the CIC.



ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IITRJ/A/E/25/00032

Applicant Name

I have filed RTI a recruitment of IIT Jodhpur IITJ/O(EII)/2024 25/Non Academic Staff/57 post in which post code 5704 (CRDSI) was organized and its result was declared and I had sought the results of stage 1 and stage 2 of the students who passed and failed in the examination with the help of RTI And my RTI was returned saying that this information was not given citing RTI section 8(1)(j). Which is completely wrong which is wrong due to the following reasons. 1) IIT Jodhpur had conducted the examination before this, in which the written test and skill test marks of all the candidates appearing in the examination were released according to the roll number. Which I am attaching with the pdf. So on that basis, how is the RTI filed by me a violation of section 8 (1)(j)? I have not asked for the name, category and personal details of any candidate in the RTI. I am asking for the result of the total marks (written with skill test) of all the candidates as per their roll no. 2) Section 8(1)(j) of the RTI Act in India makes information relating to personal information confidential if it is not related to public interest. In recent instances, the Court and CIC have made clear observations That supreme Court Judgment (February 2025)(A) In the case of Onkar T. Pimpalkar vs PIO, Bombay HC ruled on 11 November 2024 that Section 8(1)(j) does not give the power to suppress any personal information to the top, only such information which has nothing to do with public activities and whose disclosure is confidential without any underlying public interest. This was confirmed by the Supreme Court and it was said that disclosure of exam marks is necessary for transparency, hence it cannot be cancelled. (B) Similar approach at state level The Madhya Pradesh HC in August 2024 held that marks of other candidates in the Agniveer recruitment exam must be made public as these are related to a public activity and are not personal confidential information. As recently as June 2025, the Kamataka Information Commission has held that: The marks of selected candidates should be made publicly verifiable it is in public interest to prevent mistakes and increase accountability. Observations by the Court These judgments have emphasized the following points: 1. Transparency paramount: Disclosure of marks in a public recruitment process maintains fairness of selection and prevents corruption. 2. Personal privacy vs public interest: There is a right to conceal only personal information, but if it is related to a public process, it is not defensible.

The requested information is attached. In case, if you are not satisfied, may prefer second appeal to CIC

Text of Appeal

Reply of Appeal



**ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IITR/J/A/E/25/00033**

**Applicant Name**

[REDACTED]

**Text of Appeal**

Respected Sir/Madam: This is a formal appeal under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005 against the response to my request seeking yearwise and branchwise placement, internship, and career outcome data for batches 2021-2025. The response is incomplete and unsatisfactory for the following reasons (1) Missing data for 2025 passout batch despite explicit request; (2) No breakdown for placement and internship data by: (a) On-campus Domestic, (b) On-campus International (with country), (c) Off-campus Domestic, (d) Off-campus International (with country); (3) Internship data entirely absent including number of students eligible, registered, offered, and stipend details; (4) Career outcome data not provided such as number of students pursuing higher studies, entrepreneurship, or remaining unplaced; (5) No branchwise and yearwise structure followed as requested; (6) Improper invocation of Section 7(9) this clause does not permit denial of information that is expected to be maintained regularly. Therefore, I kindly request the following: A) Complete data for batches 2021 to 2025; B) Branchwise and yearwise breakdown; C) Placement and internship data clearly segregated into on-campus/off-campus and domestic/international (with countries named); D) All missing internship and career outcome data; E) If any specific data is genuinely unavailable, kindly provide the latest available version along with its date of record. Kindly treat this as an appeal in the interest of transparency and accountability.

**Reply of Appeal**

At the time of the previous RTI Reply, the placement details for the 2025 Batch had not been compiled. As the placement process and data compilation are still ongoing, the provisional information available with the Office as on 23.07.2025 is attached. In case, if you are not satisfied, may prefer second appeal to the CIC.



**ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IITRJ/A/E/25/00034**

**Applicant Name**

[REDACTED]

**Text of Appeal**

Please find attached the first appeal document.

**Reply of Appeal**

Q.1: The CPIO has been suggested to provide response on time.  
Q.2: Question Paper for the post of Junior Engineer (Civil), Post Code-5743 is attached as Annexure-A Q.3: Mark list for the post of Junior Engineer (Civil), Post Code-5743 is attached as Annexure-B. Further, your score is Stage-I was 35 Marks. In case, if you are not satisfied with reply, may prefer second appeal to the CIC.



ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IITRJA/E/25/00035

Applicant Name

[REDACTED]

Text of Appeal

I am Praveen Kumar, Applicant of ongoing recruitment Adv. No. IITJ/O(EII)/2022.23/Non Academic Staff/57 , I am seeking details related to Advertisement No.: IITJ/O(EII)/2022.23/Non Academic Staff/57: Junior Technical Assistant (CRDSI) (Post Code 5704). I want the following information: Kindly share the statement of marks of above examination of all students of JTA CRDSI separately for the theory paper and skill test, in format such as uploaded on [https://old.iitj.ac.in/uploaded\\_docs/JTA\\_Ch\\_4710\\_11092023.pdf](https://old.iitj.ac.in/uploaded_docs/JTA_Ch_4710_11092023.pdf) and I also suggests (requests) you to upload marks list for every recruitment exam on site further. Thank You.

Reply of Appeal

The Marklist for the post of Junior Technical Assistant (CRDSI), Post Code-5704 is attached. In case, if you are not satisfied with reply, may prefer second appeal to the CIC.



ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IITRJ/A/E/25/00036

Applicant Name

[REDACTED]

I am filing this appeal against the CPIO's response (No. IITJ/RTI/CPIO/2025-26/149 dated 07/08/2025) to my RTI application (No. IITJ/R/E/25/00149 dated 14/07/2025), in which the requested stage-wise marks of candidates in the recruitment for the post of Junior Technical Superintendent (Chemical Engineering) were denied under Section 8(1)(j) of the RTI Act. Grounds for Appeal: 1. Larger Public Interest: The recruitment was conducted for a public post in a public institution, and transparency in evaluation is essential to ensure merit-based selection and prevent arbitrariness. Judgments Supporting Disclosure: 2. As per the Hon'ble Supreme Court in UPSC v. Angesh Kumar, marks obtained by candidates in competitive exams must be disclosed. 3. The CIC in several decisions (e.g., CIC/SM/A/2013/000467) has ruled that post-examination mark details do not fall under privacy if they pertain to a public recruitment process. 4. No Personal Harm or Privacy Violation: I have not asked for personal details (names/addresses) of candidates. Only marks and cutoffs in a public selection process are sought for transparency and accountability. Relief Sought: Kindly direct the CPIO to provide the stage-wise marks obtained by all candidates who appeared in Stage I and II of the recruitment for the said post. If anonymization is needed, marks may be provided without disclosing names or personal identifiers.

Text of Appeal

Reply of Appeal

The Marklist of all candidates who appeared in Stage-I and Stage-II test for the post of Junior Technical Superintendent (Chemical Engineering) is attached herewith. In case you are not satisfied, you may prefer second appeal to CIC.



**ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IITRJ/A/E/25/00037**

**Applicant Name**

[REDACTED]

**Text of Appeal**

Grounds for First Appeal I had filed an RTI application dated 10 July 2025 through the RTI Online Portal to IIT Jodhpur with Registration Number IITRJ/R/E/25/00146 seeking information regarding SC PWD category seats in BTech admissions for the academic year 2025-26 No reply has been received from the CPIO within the prescribed 30 days under Section 7(1) of the RTI Act 2005 This amounts to a deemed refusal of information under the Act I request the First Appellate Authority to kindly direct the CPIO to provide complete and correct information as sought in my RTI application specifically 1 Total number of SC PWD category seats in each BTech program at IIT Jodhpur for 2025-26 2 Number of such seats filled during JoSAA CSAB counselling 3 Whether any seats remained vacant and reasons for the same 4 Process followed for reallocation of vacant SC PWD seats 5 Eligibility of SC PWD candidates in future years for allocation of such seats if vacant This appeal is being filed under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act 2005

**Reply of Appeal**

The desired information was provided by the CPIO. The concern of Applicant is well noted regarding submission of response on time. In case you are not satisfied, you may prefer second appeal to CIC.



**ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IITRJ/A/E/25/00038**

**Applicant Name**

[REDACTED]

**Text of Appeal**

I am not satisfied with CPIOs reply to RTI No. IITJ/R/E/25/00143 dated 09/07/2025. Info denied under Sec 8(1)(j) though it is public/recorded. (1) Cutoff & selected candidates details (2) Verification of experience certificates (3) My OMR sheet & answer key (4) Complaints/inquiry status. All fall under Sec 2(f) & are disclosable as per CIC/Supreme Court rulings. Kindly direct CPIO to provide

**Reply of Appeal**

Please find the response as under: Point No. 1 & 3: The information sought is not readily available. Point No. 2 & 4: The reply provided by the CPIO each appropriate. Further, Second Appeal can be done at the Central Information Commission (CIC) under India's Right to Information (RTI) Act, 2005



**ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IITRJ/A/E/25/00039**

**Applicant Name**

[REDACTED]

**Text of Appeal**

1. It was asked why the list of provisionally shortlisted or eligible candidates for tier 1 and 2 not uploaded on the website? The institute replied that list of provisionally shortlisted candidates for tier 2 has been uploaded. As per the procedure, the list of provisionally shortlisted candidates for tier 1 was to be uploaded before releasing the Admit card and after qualifying tier 1 the list was to be uploaded for tier 2. But the institute didnt uploaded the list for tier 1 which has been bypassed by the institute to avoid transparency of the recruitment. 2. Further no reasons were provided by the institute for not uploading the above required list. The institute is manipulating the things by only saying that list for tier 2 has been uploaded but didnt provided any reason for not uploading the list of provisionally shortlisted candidates for tier 1. Even in reply the institute didnt provided list of provisionally shortlisted candidates for tier 1. This is clearly a case of breach in transparency in the recruitment process. A recruitment scam was also highlighted in this institute some years ago . Why the institute is not following the proper procedure?

**Reply of Appeal**

Dear Applicant, Please find the response as under. All the candidates who applied for the post of Assistant Audit Officer (Post Code-5749) were called for stage-I Test. In case if you are not satisfied, you may prefer a second appeal to CIC.



**ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IITRJA/E/25/00040**

<b>Applicant Name</b>	[REDACTED]
<b>Text of Appeal</b>	Pleasde find attached application for the first appeal in the supporting document
<b>Reply of Appeal</b>	



**ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IITRJ/A/E/25/00041**

**Applicant Name**

[REDACTED]

**Text of Appeal**

In view of my RTI application dated 26.07.2025, I request the first appellate authority for the following information: For the query at SI No.1, please provide my OMR answer sheet along with question paper also. For the query at SI No. 3, please provide category wise (SC/ST/OBC/UR) cut off marks (the marks of the last candidate (category wise) who was selected for the post of Jr. Superintendent).

**Reply of Appeal**

Dear Applicant, Please find the response as under. Point No. 1: Please find attached herewith your scan OMR sheet. Further, other answer sheets were already provided in the RTI reply dated 26.07.2025. Point No. 2: The marks of the last candidate (Category Wise) who are selected for the post of Junior Superintendent is as below: UR- 69.5 OBC- 70.5 SC- 60 In case if you are not satisfied, you may prefer a second appeal to CIC.



ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IITRJ/A/E/25/00042

Applicant Name

I had filed an RTI application dated 30/07/2025 seeking information regarding the recruitment process for the post of Junior Superintendent (Post Code 5752), Advt. No. IITJ/O(EII)/202425/Nonacademic Staff/57 dated 08.04.2024. The final result was declared on 10/07/2025. However, in the reply dated 01/09/2025, the CPIO has denied/disposed of some of the requested information as follows: 1.Names of selected candidates: Denied under Section 8(1)(j) of the RTI Act. 2.Marks or scores of selected candidates: Not provided. 3.Cutoff marks: Not clearly provided (only a general statement that selection was based on combined Stages and Stage marks). 4.Waiting list details: Confirmed existence of waiting list but no details provided. Grounds for Appeal: 1.The names and marks of selected candidates in a recruitment examination are public information and do not fall under personal information exempted by Section 8(1)(j), since this pertains to a public recruitment process conducted by a public authority. Disclosure of such information is in larger public interest and is routinely published by most government institutions and recruiting agencies. 2.The cutoff marks for each category (UR, OBC, SC) form an essential part of transparency in the selection process and cannot be withheld. 3. The reply regarding the waiting list is incomplete. While the CPIO has admitted that a waiting list exists, the details have not been shared. Relief Sought:I kindly request the First Appellate Authority to direct the CPIO to provide the following information in full: 1.Names of all final selected candidates for the post of Junior Superintendent. 2. Marks obtained by each selected candidate. 3. Categorywise cutoff marks (UR, OBC, SC).4. Complete details of the waiting list/reserve panel prepared for the said recruitment. I request your kind intervention for ensuring transparency in the recruitment process as mandated under the RTI Act, 2005. Enclosures: Copy of CPIO reply No. IITJ/RTI/CPIO/202526/169 dated 01/09/2025.

Text of Appeal

Reply of Appeal

First Appeal reply is attached. Further, Second Appeal can be done at the Central Information Commission (CIC) under India's Right to Information (RTI) Act, 2005



**ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IITRJ/A/E/25/00043**

**Applicant Name**



**Text of Appeal**

2 How can be sufficient ventilation in very small closed AC rooms while students are sleeping in a very very small space. 3 DOSA along with officiating Registrar Sir held meeting with hundreds of students on 23 Jul 2025 in the afternoon at IIT Jodhpur campus. 4 DOSA along with officiating Registrar Sir held meeting with hundreds of students on 23 Jul 2025 in the afternoon at IIT Jodhpur campus.

**Reply of Appeal**

Please find the response against your RTI Appeal as under: Institute provides healthy environment for all students. Each room has two windows for sufficient ventilation, and AC & Fan for air circulation. However, there was a student open house held for common discussion. Second Appeal can be done at the Central Information Commission (CIC) under India's Right to Information (RTI) Act, 2005



**ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IITRJA/E/25/00044**

**Applicant Name**

[REDACTED]

**Text of Appeal**

NO RESPONSE RECEIVED FROM THE CPIO WITHIN STIPULATED PERIOD AS PER RTI ACT AND HENCE SUBMITTED THE FIRST APPEAL WITH A REQUEST TO FURNISH THE INFORMATION SOUGHT.

**Reply of Appeal**

Dear Applicant, The CPIO has already provided the information to you on 08.09.2025. In case if you are not satisfied, you may prefer a second appeal to CIC.



**ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IITRJ/A/E/25/00045**

**Applicant Name**

[REDACTED]

**Text of Appeal**

Sir, No reply has been received against the RTI request no. IITRJ/R/E/25/00171 even after the expiry of 30 days. Copy of query attached for your reference.

**Reply of Appeal**

Dear Applicant, The CPIO has already provided the information to you on 08.09.2025. In case if you are not satisfied, you may prefer a second appeal to CIC.



**ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IITRJ/A/E/25/00046**

**Applicant Name**

[REDACTED]

**Text of Appeal**

This RTI is with respect to Advt. No.: IITJ/Q(EII)/202425/Non Academic Staff /57 for the post of Assistant Audit Officer (Post Code 5749), Kindly provide the point wise information for below mentioned request:1. Question paper for stage 1 & 2 test along with answer key.2. Marks obtained by the candidate having Roll No. 10647 (Mr. Harish Mahabrahmin) in stage 1 test3. Cut off marks for stage 1 test.4. List of finally selected candidates & marks obtained by them in Stage 1 test.5. List of candidates appeared for stage 1 test & marks obtained by them.6. Names of finally selected candidates for the post.RegardsHarish Mahabrahmin

**Reply of Appeal**

First Appeal reply is attached, Further, Second Appeal can be done at the Central Information Commission (CIC) under India's Right to Information (RTI) Act, 2005



**ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IITRJ/A/E/25/00048**

**Applicant Name**

[REDACTED]

I filed RTI Application No. IITJ/R/E/25/00164 dated 05/08/2025 seeking stagewise marks of all candidates (Stage I & II) for Junior Assistant recruitment (IITJ/O(EII)/202425/NonAcademic Staff/57). The CPIO vide reply dated 02/09/2025 (No. IITJ/RTI/CPIO/202526/172) denied the information under Section 8(1)(j). I respectfully submit that this denial is not justified because: 1. The recruitment process has concluded up to Stage II, and as per IITJs earlier RTI reply dated 29/07/2025 (No. IITJ/RTI/CPIO/202526/133), selection is based on order of merit. Thus, marks disclosure is directly related to a public recruitment process. 2. I am not seeking names or personal details, only Roll No. Marks, which does not amount to unwarranted invasion of privacy. 3. Honble Supreme Court in CBSE vs Aditya Bandopadhyay (2011) and multiple CIC decisions have held that disclosure of marks/answer sheets after recruitment/selection promotes transparency and is not exempt under Section 8(1)(j). 4. Nondisclosure of marks defeats the principle of fairness and transparency in public recruitment. Prayer / Relief Sought: Kindly direct the CPIO to provide stagewise marks (Stage I & II) of all candidates in anonymised format (Roll No. Marks only). This will ensure transparency and accountability without violating privacy.

**Text of Appeal**

**Reply of Appeal**

Dear Applicant, Please find attached herewith score of Stage-I & Stage-II of all the candidates appeared in Stage-II.



ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IITRJ/A/E/25/00049

Applicant Name

[REDACTED]

Text of Appeal

Reply of question no.1 is ambiguous , request to provide no. of seats available for Ph.d. under department of Bioscience & Bio engineering for AY 2025 2026. Reply of question 4 shows that against 8 no. provisionally selected candidates only 5 have been admitted. Against 3 available seats only one waitlist candidate have been admitted while other two waitlisted candidate have not been called for or given opportunity to take admission. This is completely unjustified non transparent dealings on part of IIT Jodhpur Bioscience & Bio engineering department. This is also about denying rightful admission to waitlisted candidates & against natural justice. Request you to divulge complete information regarding not calling of waitlisted candidates for admission while the seats were available.

Reply of Appeal

Dear Applicant: Please find the point wise response in persuasion to first appeal as under. 1) The intake capacity of the Department of Bioscience and Bioengineering can be correlated with the faculty strength of the department (Link for Faculty Strength: <https://iitj.ac.in/People?dept=bioscience-bioengineering>) and reference to the below guidelines. The admissions to the Ph.D. program for AY 2025-26 are carried out in accordance with the Institute norms. As per these norms, each faculty member may supervise up to four (4) MoE-funded Ph.D. Students and up to four (4) additional Ph.D. Students supported through other funding sources (e.g., CSIR-JRF, NET-JRF, Institute-sponsored research projects, part-time or external Ph.D. programs, or other fellowships). The seats are decided based on the above. The admission to a candidate depends on his/her performance during the written test and/or interview. Accordingly, the number of seats in the respective Department is determined on the basis of the permissible supervision capacity of the faculty members at the time of the admission process. 4) Due to the unavailability of waitlisted candidates in the EWS and ST categories, no further offer letters were issued. The one waitlisted candidate taken admission was against OBC Category. The provisionally selected OBC candidate from the main list did not join, that's why the wait list candidate in the same category was offered the position. The other 2 waitlisted candidates were not called for admission as General Category seats were already filled from the provisionally selected candidates in the main list.



**ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IITRJ/A/E/25/00050**

**Applicant Name**

[REDACTED]

**Text of Appeal**

Dear Sir PFA application for the appeal

**Reply of Appeal**

Dear Applicant, Please find the responses in persuance to your First Appeal attached herewith.



**ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IITRJ/A/E/25/00051**

**Applicant Name**

[REDACTED]

This RTI is with respect to Advt. No.: IITJ/O(EII)/202425/Non Academic Staff/57 for the post of Assistant Audit Officer (Post Code 5749), Kindly provide the point wise information for below mentioned request: 1. Question paper for stage 1 & 2 test along with answer key. 2. Marks obtained by the candidate having Roll No. 10871 (Mr. Pankaj Sen) in stage 1 test. 3. Cut off marks for stage 1 test. 4. List of finally selected candidates & marks obtained by them in Stage 1 test. 5. List of candidates appeared for stage 1 test & marks obtained by them. 6. Names of finally selected candidates for the post.

**Text of Appeal**

**Reply of Appeal**

Dear Applicant, Please find point wise reply as under: Point No. 1 The question paper for the post of Assistant Audit Officer (Post Code - 5749) will be uploaded on the Institute Website after the completion of the Advt. No: 57. Point No. 2 Your score in Stage-I was 23 marks. Point No. 3 The Cut-off for Stage-I test was 25 marks out of 60 marks. Point No. 4 & 5 The List of finally selected candidates is uploaded on the Institute website. Below is the link to access the same: [https://www.iitj.ac.in/PagelImages/Recruitment/09-2025/Non-Teaching-Staff-Members-Result-IITJ-O\(E-II\)-2024-25-Non-Academic-Staff-57-638937214991994381.pdf](https://www.iitj.ac.in/PagelImages/Recruitment/09-2025/Non-Teaching-Staff-Members-Result-IITJ-O(E-II)-2024-25-Non-Academic-Staff-57-638937214991994381.pdf) Further, Stage-I marks of all the candidates is attached herewith. Point No. 6 The information is confidential in nature hence cannot be provided under Section 8(1) j of RTI Act, 2005.



**ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IITRJ/A/E/25/00052**

**Applicant Name**

[REDACTED]

**Text of Appeal**

I have submitted an RTI to IIT Jodhpur regarding providing the details of branch change calculating formula and upper & lower limit of class strength for finalizing branch change in the UG 1st year program but I have been given only a link to academic regulation and nowhere it is mentioned about the formula. It looks like the institute or the concerned department does not want to reveal the information so that they might get caught or punished for doing mistakes in branch change calculation. Please ask them to provide me the complete details otherwise I have to move to the honorable court.

**Reply of Appeal**

The information already provided is correct. Detailed information regarding branch change is available on the Institute website under the tab "Academic Regulations (Regulations Governing Programs Offered by the Institute for Students)", at Serial No. 16. Access Link for Academic Regulations: - <https://iitj.ac.in/office-of-academics/en/academic-regulations> Access Link for Branch Change: - <https://iitj.ac.in/office-of-academics/en/academic-regulations#16.%20Branch%20Change>



ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IITRJ/A/E/25/00053

Applicant Name



Text of Appeal

Sir, kindly provide information on the following points:1. In the current academic year 202526, students enrolled in the B.Sc.B.Ed. program in 202425 session at IIT Jodhpur have not been given any fee concession based on family income. Kindly clarify whether there were any directions issued by the Department of Higher Education in this regard. If yes, then please provide a copy of the relevant document.2. In case no such directions were given then please clarify that on what basis and with whose approval was this decision made.3. Please also specify whether prior approval from the Department of Higher Education was obtained before taking this decision. If yes, then provide a copy of the relevant document.Note:a) As per Section 7(1), the information should be provided in the same format as it has been requested.b) As per Section 7(j), any information that cannot be denied to the Parliament of India or the State Legislatures shall not be denied to the applicant.X

Reply of Appeal

Dear Applicant, Please find the point wise reply of your appeal as under: Point 1&2 : In the current academic year 2025-26, the students enrolled in the B.Sc.-B.Ed. program at IIT Jodhpur during the academic session 2024-25 have been granted fee concessions based on their family income However, the fee remission portal was open till 31.10.2025. Point 3 : The Institute follows the Government guidelines and Institutional norms in the regard. The fee structure and related concessions are implemented as per the institute's approved norms.



**ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IITRJ/A/E/25/00054**

**Applicant Name**

[REDACTED]

**Text of Appeal**

All the details like educational qualifications, research papers, Patents, National and International fellowships, Books and Book chapters, Invited talks, National & International Projects awarded and completed, Teaching and research experience of all candidates shortlisted for the next stage of selection following the Research and Teaching seminar stage for the Assistant Professor and Associate Professor positions in the Department of BioSciences and BioEngineering, based on the Research and Teaching seminars held in 2025. The evaluation reports from each panel member, mentioning their comments and assessments of Research and Teaching seminar of Shweta Gupta who had applied for the Associate Professor position in the BSBE Department.

**Reply of Appeal**

The reply provided by the CPIO is appropriate.



**ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IITRJ/A/E/25/00055**

**Applicant Name**

[REDACTED]

**Text of Appeal**

I had submitted an RTI application dated 14.10.2025 regarding the recruitment process for the post of Assistant Audit Officer (Post Code 5749), advertised under Advertisement No. IITJ/O(EII)/202425/NonAcademic Staff/57. I have received reply on 07.11.2025. However, the information supplied is incomplete/partial. Kindly direct the concerned authority to provide the complete and correct information as sought in my RTI application. 1. The names of all applicants who appeared in the Stagell examination for the above post. 2. The marks obtained in Stagel and Stagell examinations by all applicants who appeared in Stagell. 3. Whether any waiting list of candidates has been prepared for the post of Assistant Audit Officer. If yes, please provide a certified copy of the same. 4. The number of candidates who appeared in Stagel examination and the criteria adopted for scrutiny/shortlisting of candidates for Stagel and Stagell, particularly since the advertisement mentioned experience as Sr auditor and Sr accountant in an organized department as essential qualification and many of candidates was not having while taking exam. 5. The name(s) of candidates finally selected for the post of Assistant Audit Officer. 6. Experience details (as mentioned in their application forms) of the candidates finally selected for the post of Assistant Audit Officer. 7. A copy of the Stagell examination paper conducted for the said post.

**Reply of Appeal**

The reply provided by the CPIO is appropriate.



**ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IITRJ/A/E/25/00056**

**Applicant Name**

[REDACTED]

**Text of Appeal**

1. I am a resident allottee of AIS Residency Pratap Nagar Jaipur. 2. Moreso, I am technically qualified being a former Chief Electrical Inspector for Military Engineer Services and thus, concerned about it. 3. The Field inspection of 21 lifts installed in the AIS residency had been assigned to the IIT Jodhpur by RHB to identify and plug the safety loopholes of the 21 lifts. 4. The entire AIS Residency has been constructed by the RHB on Self Financing Scheme basis. Implying, that the charges for the inspection of lifts have been borne by the residents, including me. 5. Moreso, there is no personal /commercial/secret/confidential /sensitive information involved. 6. Therefore, you are requested to kindly share the Final Field Inspection report of 21 lifts, in the larger public interest. 7. It is also pertinent to note that the IIT Jodhpur had subcontracted the inspection work to Shri Avinash Kumar Aggarwal Retd Lift Inspector Delhi and during the initial inspection myself was associated with the inspection. 8. Thus, it is highlighted that myself is not a third party but very well the interested party. 9. Also, The section 8 (1) E does not exempt public authorities from providing information that is available in their records, in the larger public interest. 10. With kind regards

**Reply of Appeal**

The reply provided by the CPIO is appropriate.



**ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IITRJ/A/E/25/00057**

<b>Applicant Name</b>	[REDACTED]
<b>Text of Appeal</b>	No reply has been received so far, CPIO/PIO may be requested to reply at the earliest.
<b>Reply of Appeal</b>	The reply is provided to you vide letter No: IITJ/RTI/CPIO/2025-26/299. The CPIO will be informed to provide the information in a timely manner.



**ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IITRJ/A/E/25/00058**

**Applicant Name**

[REDACTED]

**Text of Appeal**

MSME exemption can only be applicable to services rendered; MSME is not applicable to the trading goods. In the case of the vending machines, the vendor is trading the goods (Chips, cold drinks, biscuits, etc.) by procuring them from manufacturers. Therefore, it's purely a trading business. Please clarify and do the needful if the institute has made a mistake in the tendering process.

**Reply of Appeal**

M/s. Fresh N Green is registered as MSME under Small Enterprise Type in which it is mentioned that Major Activity is "Trading" but it is also mentioned in MSME of Fresh N Green that it also deals under Service Category for Food and beverage service activities (Annexure-I). Further, IIT Jodhpur has issued a tender for Vending Machines under the "Service" category, and it is currently availing services from Fresh N Green. Additionally, as per Government norms, MSMEs and Startups are generally exempted from prior experience requirements (Annexure-II). The criteria for availing this exemption are detailed in the Tender Document (Annexure-III).



**ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IITRJ/A/E/25/00059**

**Applicant Name**

[REDACTED]

**Text of Appeal**

Subject: Appeal under Section 19(1) of the Right to Information Act, 2005 against the reply of the CPIO in RTI Application No. IITRJ/R/E/25/00241. Please Refer the attached Documents contains my Application for First Appeal and other supporting documents.

Dear Applicant Please find the responses as under: Query No. 4: The link is functioning properly. Further, CPIO is directed to share the link directly with you through E-mail. Query No. 5, 7, 12: Please refer to link as under:

<https://www.iitj.ac.in/PagelImages/RecruitmentAdvertisementMaster/03-2025/Process-of-Examination-1-638779765907938174.pdf> The Note No 1 of above document is reproduced below : Note1. If Stage-I test is scheduled in the forenoon session, Stage-II test (only for qualified in Stage-I), will be conducted in the afternoon session/next day or any other day as per the feasibility of IIT Jodhpur. Regarding Unfair denial of TA, please refer to the response provided to you via E-mail dated : 13th Nov, 2025 "Outstation applicants called for the test, and who qualify for the last round of selection (namely skill test/interview), will get reimbursement of fare [from the Correspondence Address (as mentioned in Sr. No. 2 of the application) to Jodhpur and back by the shortest route] as per norms of the Institute through online transfer (RTGS/NEFT)." Since you did not qualify for the last round of selection (Interview-Stage 2 test), you are not eligible for TA reimbursement as per the Institute's policy. Accordingly your matter is disposed of.

**Reply of Appeal**



**ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IITRJ/A/E/26/00001**

**Applicant Name**

[REDACTED]

**Text of Appeal**

I have filed RTI request vide IITRJ/R/E/25/00253 registration number, but it has been over 30 days and still no reply regarding the same. I am requesting you to admit my appeal and provide me details of my above stated RTI request queries as soon as possible. And take action against the officer for violating RTI act, who is responsible for providing information within 30 days as per RTI act.

**Reply of Appeal**

Dear Applicant, The reply is submitted to you vide letter no. IITJ/RTI/CPIO/2025-26/329 dated 30.01.2026. Further, The CPIO is directed to provide the information in a timely manner.



ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IITR/JA/E/26/00002

Applicant Name

Legend: [R] = Right to Information Act, 2005; [C] = Constitution of India First Appeal under Section 19(1) [R] against Reply dated 25.02.2026 Sir, I prefer this statutory First Appeal under Section 19(1) [R] against CPIO Reply No.IITJ/RTI/CPIO/202526/370 dated 25.02.2026, which is nonspeaking, evasive, and contrary to Sections 2(f), 7(1), 8, 10 and 19 [R]. This RTI arises from multiple monetary demands raised by IITJ against me during service and even after separation. Certain sums were demanded and recovered, and further claims were raised postemployment. The information therefore concerns continuing pecuniary consequences and establishes direct legal interest and locus. Though exemption under Section 8 [R] has been invoked for complete denial, part of the information sought is already in the public domain through IITJ's publication of employee names, designations and salary details. In view of financial demands by a publicly funded statutory body and prior disclosure of related employment data, this appeal seeks remaining information necessary to examine legality, authority and uniformity of such recoveries. I. Query No. 1 Grounds for Financial Penalties: The CPIO merely redirected me to a website without identifying specific statutory provisions, limits, applicability, or furnishing copies of BoG/Senate resolutions authorising recovery. A generic link does not satisfy Section 7(1) [R]. The information falls within Section 2(f) [R]. The reply amounts to constructive refusal. II. Query No. 2 Notice Period and Related Financial Demands: No substantive reply has been provided. Under Section 7(1) [R], the CPIO must either furnish information or reject it citing Sections 8 or 9 [R]. Failure constitutes deemed refusal. III. Query No. 3 Improper Invocation of Section 8(1)(j): The denial under Section 8(1)(j) [R] is untenable because: (a) IITJ has voluntarily disclosed employment and salary data; selective privacy invocation is arbitrary. (b) The information concerns public employment and institutional recovery framework matters of public activity. (c) Section 10 [R] mandates severability; blanket denial is illegal. (d) Under Section 8(2) [R], public interest in ensuring legality and nonarbitrariness outweighs any claimed privacy concern. Disclosure is necessary to determine whether such monetary demands have explicit statutory authority and uniform application, failing which they would offend Article 14 [C]. Financial recovery by a public authority must conform to principles of natural justice. The governing framework ought to have been proactively disclosed under Section 4(1)(b) [R]. The right to seek such information flows from Article 19(1)(a) [C]. Denial frustrates statutory and constitutional accountability. Relief Sought: Kindly address all the necessary queries in the previous application. The link to IITJ employee details as published by your institute: <https://www.iitj.ac.in/Pagelimages/Gallery/072025/StaffMembersSalaryJan2025pdf638869787785578192.pdf>. Also the pdf and screenshot attached here.

Text of Appeal

Reply of Appeal

The First Appeal dated 03.03.2026 against the CPIO's reply dated 25.02.2026 has been examined. Query No. 1: The CPIO appropriately referred to the Statutes of IIT Jodhpur available in the public domain. The information sought involves interpretation, which is beyond the scope of Section 2(f) of the RTI Act, 2005. Further, BoG minutes (<https://www.iitj.ac.in/main/en/minutes-of-the-meetings>) and Senate minutes (<https://www.iitj.ac.in/main/en/minutes-of-the-meetings-senate>) are also available on the Institute website. The reply provided is appropriate. Query No. 2: There is no specific term as "notice period penalty." The amount for shortfall of prescribed notice period is paid by the employee, if applicable. The CPIO has rightly referred the applicable Statutes/Rules in the public domain. The query seeks clarification/interpretation is outside the scope of Section 2(f) of the RTI Act, 2005. Query No. 3: The information sought is exempt from disclosure under Section 8(1)(j) of the RTI Act, 2005. Further, record is not maintained in desirable tabular form.



ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IITRJ/A/E/26/00003

Applicant Name

Legend: [R] = Right to Information Act, 2005; [C] = Constitution of India  
First Appeal under Section 19(1) [R] against Reply dated 25.02.2026 Sir, I prefer this statutory First Appeal under Section 19(1) [R] against CPIO Reply No.IITJ/RTI/CPIO/202526/370 dated 25.02.2026, which is nonspeaking, evasive, and contrary to Sections 2(f), 7(1), 8, 10 and 19 [R]. This RTI arises from multiple monetary demands raised by IITJ against me during service and even after separation. Certain sums were demanded and recovered, and further claims were raised post-employment. The information therefore concerns continuing pecuniary consequences and establishes direct legal interest and locus. Though exemption under Section 8 [R] has been invoked for complete denial, part of the information sought is already in the public domain through IITJ's publication of employee names, designations and salary details. In view of financial demands by a publicly funded statutory body and prior disclosure of related employment data, this appeal seeks remaining information necessary to examine legality, authority and uniformity of such recoveries. I. Query No. 1 Grounds for Financial Penalties: The CPIO merely redirected me to a website without identifying specific statutory provisions, limits, applicability, or furnishing copies of BoG/Senate resolutions authorising recovery. A generic link does not satisfy Section 7(1) [R]. The information falls within Section 2(f) [R]. The reply amounts to constructive refusal. II. Query No. 2 Notice Period and Related Financial Demands: No substantive reply has been provided. Under Section 7(1) [R], the CPIO must either furnish information or reject it citing Sections 8 or 9 [R]. Failure constitutes deemed refusal. III. Query No. 3 Improper Invocation of Section 8(1)(j): The denial under Section 8(1)(j) [R] is untenable because: (a) IITJ has voluntarily disclosed employment and salary data; selective privacy invocation is arbitrary. (b) The information concerns public employment and institutional recovery framework matters of public activity. (c) Section 10 [R] mandates severability; blanket denial is illegal. (d) Under Section 8(2) [R], public interest in ensuring legality and nonarbitrariness outweighs any claimed privacy concern. Disclosure is necessary to determine whether such monetary demands have explicit statutory authority and uniform application, failing which they would offend Article 14 [C]. Financial recovery by a public authority must conform to principles of natural justice. The governing framework ought to have been proactively disclosed under Section 4(1)(b) [R]. The right to seek such information flows from Article 19(1)(a) [C]. Denial frustrates statutory and constitutional accountability. Relief Sought: Kindly address all the necessary queries in the previous application. The link to IITJ employee details as published by your institute: <https://www.iitj.ac.in/PagelImages/Gallery/072025/StaffMembersSalaryJan2025pdf638869787785578192.pdf>. Also the pdf and screenshot attached here.

Text of Appeal

Reply of Appeal

The First Appeal dated 03.03.2026 against the CPIO's reply dated 25.02.2026 has been examined. Query No. 1: The CPIO appropriately referred to the Statutes of IIT Jodhpur available in the public domain. The information sought involves interpretation, which is beyond the scope of Section 2(f) of the RTI Act, 2005. Further, BoG minutes (<https://www.iitj.ac.in/main/en/minutes-of-the-meetings>) and Senate minutes (<https://www.iitj.ac.in/main/en/minutes-of-the-meetings-senate>) are also available on the Institute website. The reply provided is appropriate. Query No. 2: There is no specific term as "notice period penalty." The amount for shortfall of prescribed notice period is paid by the employee, if applicable. The CPIO has rightly referred to the applicable Statutes/Rules in the public domain. The query seeks clarification/interpretation is outside the scope of Section 2(f) of the RTI Act, 2005. Query No. 3: The information sought is exempt from disclosure under Section 8(1)(j) of the RTI Act, 2005. Further, record is not maintained in desirable tabular form.



**ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IITR/J/A/E/26/00004**

**Applicant Name**

[REDACTED]

**Text of Appeal**

The appeal is attached in pdf document due to large number of characters and the same will also be sent through the email to [FAA\\_rti@iitj.ac.in](mailto:FAA_rti@iitj.ac.in) along with appeal and relevant documents due to large file size.

**Reply of Appeal**

The information provided by the CPIO is appropriate.



**ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IITRJ/A/E/26/00005**

**Applicant Name**

**Text of Appeal**

**Reply of Appeal**

Application for information under the Right to Information (RTI) Act, 2005, regarding delay in RTI reply and inconsistency in recruitment process for the post of Industries Liaison Officer. Please go through the attachment

The reply was submitted to you vide letter no. IITJ/RTI/CPIO/2025-26/379 dated 13.03.2026. The CPIO is also directed to provide the information in a timely manner in future. Further, No Fresh Question can be entertained at appeal stage.



**ACTION HISTORY OF RTI FIRST APPEAL No.IITRJ/A/E/26/00006**

**Applicant Name**

[REDACTED]

**Text of Appeal**

The applicant is not satisfied with the information/reply provided by the CPIO, IIT Jodhpur in reply of RTI No. IITRJ/R/E/26/00013 dated 30-01-2026. Appeal is attached in the pdf document. The same will also send through email to avoid any interruption (if any) on RTI portal to [FAA\\_rti@iitj.ac.in](mailto:FAA_rti@iitj.ac.in) Laxman Singh Ex-Assistant Registrar, IIT Jodhpur (Applicant)

**Reply of Appeal**

The APAR is exclusively shown to the employee of the Institute. Further, the following provisions are mentioned in the DOPT - Brochure on Preparation and Maintenance of Annual Performance Assessment Reports for Central Civil Services: As per point 7.4 - "Copies of Annual Performance Assessment Report dossiers or the substance of the Reports contained in the dossier should not be sent to private bodies in connection with any purpose whatsoever. However, where a request is received from a public undertaking or an autonomous body controlled by Government, a gist of the relevant Annual Performance Assessment Reports may be supplied, unless Government's own interest requires that the management of the public undertaking or autonomous body should see the Annual Performance Assessment Reports in full. In such cases, the full dossier may be furnished to the offices concerned after taking the orders of administrative Ministry/Department in the case of Group 'A' or Group 'B' Government servant." Further, as per point 7.5 - "Annual Performance Assessment Reports relating to deceased Government servants may be destroyed after a period of two years from the date of death. In the case of retired Government servants, the Annual Performance Assessment Reports dossiers may be retained for a period of five years after the date of retirement." In the brochure, there is no provision to provide the copy of the APAR to an Ex - Employee directly. Therefore, reply provided by CPIO is appropriate.